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## Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence of a nontrivial solution in $\mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for the following $(p, q)$-Laplacian problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u-\Delta_{q} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{r-1} u+|u|^{p^{\star}-2} u, \\
u(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<q \leq p<r+1<p^{\star}:=\frac{N p}{N-p}, p<N, \lambda>0$ is a parameter, $\Delta_{m} u:=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $m$-Laplacian operator and $g \in L^{\frac{p^{\star}}{p^{\star}-r-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is positive in an open set.
MSC: 35J92; 47J30
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we prove the existence of a nontrivial solution for the following problem involving the $(p, q)$-Laplacian and the $p$-critical exponent

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u-\Delta_{q} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{r-1} u+|u|^{p^{\star}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1}\\
u \geq 0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<q \leq p<r+1<p^{\star}:=\frac{N p}{N-p}, p<N, \lambda>0$ is a parameter, $\Delta_{m} u:=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $m$-Laplacian operator and $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an integrable function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \in L^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad \text { with } \gamma=\frac{p^{\star}}{p^{\star}-r-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega_{g}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{g}$ is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
This kind of problem arises, for example, as the stationary version of the reactiondiffusion equation

$$
u_{t}=\operatorname{div}[D(u) \nabla u]+f(x, u),
$$
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where $u$ describes a concentration, $D(u):=\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}+|\nabla u|^{q-2}\right)$ is the diffusion coefficient and $f(x, u)$ is the reaction term related to source and loss mechanisms (see [1-4]).
The differential operator $\Delta_{p}+\Delta_{q}$, known as the $(p, q)$-Laplacian operator when $p \neq q$, has deserved special attention in the last decade. It is not homogeneous and this feature turns out to impose some technical difficulties in applying usual elliptic methods for obtaining the existence and regularity of weak solutions of problems involving this operator.

When $p=q$, we have a single operator $p$-Laplacian. In this case, problem (1) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{r-1} u+f(x)|u|^{p^{\star}-2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f(x)=1$.
In the paper [5], Gonçalves and Alves showed the existence of a weak nonnegative solution for problem (4) with $\lambda=f(x)=1, p \geq 2$ and $g \geq 0$.

Drábek and Huang in [6], proved the existence of two positive solutions for problem (4) in the case where $r=p-1, g$ and $f$ change sign, $g^{+} \neq 0, f^{+} \neq 0$ (and other conditions).

Regarding specifically the $(p, q)$-Laplacian $(p \neq q)$, Figueiredo proved, as a particular case of his main result in [1] (which was obtained for a problem involving a more general operator), the existence of a nontrivial weak solution for the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u-\Delta_{q} u+|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u=\lambda f(u)+|u|^{\left.\right|^{\star}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{5}\\
u \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f$ satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition

$$
0<F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \leq \frac{1}{p+\theta} f(t) t, \quad t>0
$$

for some positive constant $\theta$. In general, this condition not only ensures that the EulerLagrange functional associated with (5) has a mountain pass geometry, but also guarantees the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences corresponding to the functional. We emphasize that the positiveness of $\lambda g(x) \int_{0}^{t}|s|^{r-1} s d s$ in problem (1) is not guaranteed since the function $g$ can be negative in a large part of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

When $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, variational methods have been employed for obtaining results of existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following problem with p-critical growth:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u-\Delta_{q} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{r-2} u+|u|^{p^{\star}-2} u+\theta f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{6}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

For $\theta=0$ and $g=1$, we refer to [7], where $1<r<q<p<N$, and to [8], where $1<q<p<$ $r<p^{\star}$.
In [9], Yin and Yang established the existence of multiple weak solutions in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for (6) where the nonlinearity $f(x, t)$ is of concave-convex type, $\lambda, \theta>0$ are parameters, $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)_{+}$and $1<r<q<p<N$. They also obtained some results for the case $1<q<$ $\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}<p \leq \max \left\{p, p^{\star}-\frac{q}{p-1}\right\}<r<p^{\star}$.

The natural space to study $(p, q)$-Laplacian problems in a bounded domain $\Omega$ is $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, thus taking advantage of the compact immersion $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq s<p^{\star}$.

When the domain is the whole $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, Sobolev's immersion is not compact. In order to overcome this issue, the concentration-compactness principle or constrained minimization methods (see [1, 3, 10] and [4], respectively) have been used to find weak solutions in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
In this paper we prove an existence result for (1) in the reflexive Banach space

$$
\mathbb{W}:=\mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm of $W^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. More precisely, our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1 Let $g$ satisfy (2) and (3). There exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that for any $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$ problem (1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution in $\mathbb{W}$.

Our nontrivial solution is obtained from the mountain pass theorem. We prove that $I_{\lambda}$, the Euler-Lagrange functional associated with nonnegative solutions of (1) in $\mathbb{W}$, satisfies a mountain pass geometry, circumventing the difficulties due to the fact that the $(p, q)$ Laplacian operator is not homogeneous. We also adapt standard arguments to prove the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences. In order to overcome the lack of compactness of Sobolev's immersion, we apply the concentration-compactness principle by making use of a suitable bounded measure and adapting arguments from [5], where a $p$-Laplacian problem involving critical exponents is considered. By following [7] and [8] we get a strict upper bound for $c_{\lambda}$, the level of the Palais-Smale sequence, valid for all $\lambda$ large enough. Then, we use this fact and arguments derived from [5] to conclude that the nonnegative critical point for $I_{\lambda}$, obtained from the mountain pass theorem, is not the trivial one.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we state some known results and notations that will be used to prove Theorem 1.
First, let us introduce the following version of the mountain pass theorem (see [11] or [12]).

Lemma 2 Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $\Phi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $\Phi(0)=0$ and that there exist $\alpha, \rho>0$ and $x_{1} \in X \backslash \bar{B}_{\rho}(0)$ such that

- $\Phi(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{X}=\rho$;
- $\Phi\left(x_{1}\right)<\alpha$.

There exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$
\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $c$ is the minimax level, defined by

$$
c:=\inf \left\{\max _{t \in[0,1]} \Phi(\gamma(t)): \gamma \in C([0,1], X), \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } \gamma(1)=x_{1}\right\} .
$$

Let $1<m<N$ and denote by $\mathcal{D}^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm of $W^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We recall that $\mathcal{D}^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a reflexive Banach space that is also characterized by (see [13])

$$
\mathcal{D}^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{m^{\star}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \in L^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}
$$

where $m^{\star}:=\frac{N m}{N-m}$, and that its original norm is equivalent to the gradient norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$. Moreover, $W^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{D}^{1, m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{m^{\star}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

The next result is a version of the concentration-compactness principle of Lions (see [14] and [15]).

Lemma 3 Let $v_{n} \in \mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a bounded sequence such that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. If $v_{n}$ is a subsequence such that $\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \rightharpoonup v$ for some measure $v$, then there exist $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\nu_{i}>0, i=1,2,3, \ldots$, such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i}^{\frac{p}{p^{\star}}}<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad v_{n}^{p^{\star}} \rightharpoonup|v|^{p^{\star}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i} \delta_{x_{i}}=v
$$

where $\delta_{x_{i}}$ denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at $x_{i}$.

The next result follows from Theorem 1 of [16] combined with the Banach-Alaoglu theorem (see Remark (iii) of [16]).

Lemma 4 Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a bounded sequence converging to $u$ almost everywhere. Then $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ (weakly) in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

The following lemma can be found in [17, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 5 Let $s>1, \Omega$ an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $u_{n}, u \in W^{1, s}(\Omega), n=1,2,3, \ldots$ Let $a(x, \xi) \in$ $C^{0}\left(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfy, for positive numbers $\alpha, \beta>0$, the following properties:

- $\alpha|\xi|^{s} \leq a(x, \xi) \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,
- $|a(x, \xi)| \leq \beta|\xi|^{s-1}$ for all $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$,
- $[a(x, \xi)-a(x, \eta)][\xi-\eta]>0$ for all $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $\xi \neq \eta$.

Then $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^{s}(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left[a\left(x, \nabla u_{n}(x)\right)-a(x, \nabla u(x))\right]\left[\nabla u_{n}(x)-\nabla u(x)\right] d x=0 .
$$

We denote by $S$ the best Sobolev constant defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\inf \left\{\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}}{\|u\|_{p^{\star}}^{p}}: u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The existence theorem

We deal with problem (1) in the reflexive Banach space

$$
\mathbb{W}:=\mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\mathbb{W}}:=\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}+\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}},
$$

where

$$
\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{m}}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{m} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange functional associated with (1) is

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u):= & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q} d x-\frac{\lambda}{r+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{+}^{r+1} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{\star}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{+}^{p^{\star}} d x \quad \text { for all } u \in \mathbb{W}, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{+}:=\max \{0, u\}$. It is well defined in $\mathbb{W}$ and of class $C^{1}$ (as a consequence of hypothesis (2)).
In order to obtain a critical point for $I_{\lambda}$, we will find a Palais-Smale sequence for this functional, that is, a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{W}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{W}^{*}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel we show that $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies a mountain pass geometry. In order to simplify the presentation, we denote, from now on, the norm of $\mathbb{W}$ by $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{W}}$.

Lemma 6 There exist $\eta, \rho>0$ and $u_{0} \in \mathbb{W}$ satisfying: $\left\|u_{0}\right\|>\rho, I_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}\right)<0$ and $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \eta$ for any $u \in \mathbb{W}$ such that $\|u\|=\rho$.

Proof The Hölder inequality implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda}{r+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{+}^{r+1} d x & \leq \frac{\lambda}{S^{\frac{r+1}{p}}(r+1)}\|g\|_{\gamma}\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{r+1} \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{S^{\frac{r+1}{p}}(r+1)}\|g\|_{\gamma}\left[\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}+\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}\right]^{r+1} \\
& =: C_{1}\|u\|^{r+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and (7) yields

$$
\frac{1}{p^{\star}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{+}^{p^{\star}} d x \leq \frac{\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p^{\star}}}{S^{\frac{p^{\star}}{p}} p^{\star}} \leq \frac{\left(\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}+\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}\right)^{p^{\star}}}{S^{\frac{p^{\star}}{p}} p^{\star}}=: C_{2}\|u\|^{p^{\star}} .
$$

Let us suppose $\|u\| \leq 1$. Then $\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}} \leq\|u\| \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}-\frac{\lambda}{r+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{+}^{r+1} d x-\frac{1}{p^{\star}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{+}^{p^{\star}} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\left(\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}\right)-C_{1}\|u\|^{r+1}-C_{2}\|u\|^{p^{\star}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\left(\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{p}\right)-C_{1}\|u\|^{r+1}-C_{2}\|u\|^{p^{\star}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\left(\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}+\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}\right)^{p}-C_{1}\|u\|^{r+1}-C_{2}\|u\|^{p^{\star}}=\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{p 2^{p-1}}-C_{1}\|u\|^{r+1}-C_{2}\|u\|^{p^{\star}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have concluded that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(u) \geq\|u\|^{p}\left(\frac{1}{p 2^{p-1}}-C_{1}\|u\|^{r+1-p}-C_{2}\|u\|^{p^{\star}-p}\right), \quad \text { whenever }\|u\| \leq 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $\phi(t):=t^{p}\left(\frac{1}{p 2^{p-1}}-C_{1} t^{r+1-p}-C_{2} t^{p^{\star}-p}\right), t \geq 0$. It is easy to see that there exists $0<t_{1}<1$ such that $\phi(t)>0$ for all $t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right]$. Therefore, there exist $\eta>0$ and $0<\rho<1$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \eta>0$ whenever $\|u\|=\rho$.

Now, let $v_{0} \in \mathbb{W} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $v_{0} \geq 0$. Then, for any $t>0$, one has

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(t v_{0}\right)=\frac{t^{p}}{p}\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\frac{t^{q}}{q}\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}-\frac{t^{r+1} \lambda}{r+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g v_{0}^{r+1} d x-\frac{t^{p^{\star}}}{p^{\star}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v_{0}^{p^{\star}} d x .
$$

Since $I_{\lambda}\left(t v_{0}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $u_{0}=t_{0} v_{0} \in \mathbb{W}$ such that $\left\|u_{0}\right\|>\rho$ and $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}\right)<0$.

Lemma 7 Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{W}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence. Then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{W}$.
Proof By hypothesis, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (9). It follows that there exist positive constants $k_{0}$ and $k_{1}$ such that $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq k_{0}$ and $\left\|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W^{*}} \leq k_{1}$ for all $n$ large. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{0}+k_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\| & \geq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{r+1}\left\{I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r+1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r+1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}+\left(\frac{1}{r+1}-\frac{1}{p^{\star}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n_{+}}^{p^{\star}} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r+1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r+1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, for all $n$ large, we have

$$
c_{0}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \geq c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+c_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q},
$$

where $c_{0}, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are positive constants that do not depend on $n$.
Suppose $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have the three following cases to consider:

1. $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}} \rightarrow \infty$;
2. $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}$ is bounded;
3. $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}$ is bounded and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}} \rightarrow \infty$.

The first case cannot occur. Indeed, it implies that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}>\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{q}$ for all $n$ large, and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{0}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) & \geq c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{q}+c_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q} \geq c_{3}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{q}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{c_{3}}{2^{q-1}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}\right)^{q}=c_{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$.

If the second case occurs, we have, for all $n$ large,

$$
c_{0}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}\right) \geq c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+c_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q} \geq c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p},
$$

and hence we arrive at the absurd

$$
0<\frac{c_{1}}{c_{0}} \leq \lim _{n}\left(\frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}}+\frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p-1}}+\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}}\right)=0 .
$$

Proceeding as in the second case, one can check that the third case cannot also happen.

Lemma 8 Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{W}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence. There exists a nonnegative function $u \in \mathbb{W}$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \text { a.e. } \mathbb{R}^{N}, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} .
$$

Proof We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\varphi v|^{p^{\star}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\star}}} S^{\frac{1}{p}} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla(\varphi v)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{p}|v|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\varphi|^{p}|\nabla v|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where the first inequality comes from (7). Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\varphi|\right|^{\star^{\star}}|v|^{p^{\star}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\star}}} S^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{p}|\nu|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\varphi|^{p}\left(|\nabla v|^{p}+|\nabla v|^{q}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
As a consequence of the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, given by Lemma 7 , there exists $u \in \mathbb{W}$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathbb{W}$. Since $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n-} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $u_{n-} \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathbb{W}$, so $u_{n+} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Let $\Phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfy $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$ and

$$
\Phi(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{1}\end{cases}
$$

where $B_{\tau}$ denotes the ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ centered at the origin and with radius $\tau$.
By applying Lemma 3 with $v_{n}=u_{n+}$ and $v=u$, we have

$$
u_{n+}^{p^{\star}} \rightharpoonup u^{p^{\star}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i} \delta_{x_{i}} .
$$

Define the measure $\left(\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{q}\right) d x$. Since it is bounded, we have

$$
\left(\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{q}\right) d x \rightharpoonup \mu
$$

for some measure $\mu$. For each index $i$ and each $\varepsilon>0$, define

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x):=\Phi\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

It follows from inequality (11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\star}} u_{+}^{p^{\star}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\star}}} S^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} u_{n+}^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{q}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\star}} d \nu\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\star}}} S^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

and then, by making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$
\left(\int_{\left\{x_{i}\right\}} d v\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\star}}} S^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\int_{\left\{x_{i}\right\}} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
S v_{i}^{\frac{p}{p^{\star}}} \leq \mu_{i}:=\int_{\left\{x_{i}\right\}} d \mu . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from the fact that $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon} u_{n_{+}} \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n+} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n+} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda g u_{n+}^{r+1} \varphi_{\varepsilon} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n+}^{p^{\star}} \varphi_{\varepsilon} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{n+}\right|^{q}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon} d x+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n+} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x+\lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n+} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda g u_{+}^{r+1} \varphi_{\varepsilon} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi_{\varepsilon} d v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi_{\varepsilon} d \mu \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

By Claim 1 in [5] and by the same argument replacing $p$ with $q$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n+} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x=\lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n+} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x=o(\varepsilon) .
$$

Making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (13), we arrive at

$$
\int_{\left\{x_{i}\right\}} d v=\int_{\left\{x_{i}\right\}} d \mu
$$

that is, $v_{i}=\mu_{i}$. By combining this equality with (12), we obtain

$$
v_{i} \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(v_{i}\right)^{p / p^{\star}}<\infty$, there exist at most a finite number $s$ of indices $i$ with $v_{i}>0$. Let us first consider the case where $s>0$. In this case we take $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right\} \subset B_{\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{0}}}(0) \quad \text { and } \quad B_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cap B_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(x_{j}\right)=\emptyset \quad \text { for } i \neq j
$$

We also define

$$
\Psi_{\varepsilon}(x):=\Phi(\varepsilon x)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \Phi\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$. Thus,

$$
\Psi_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\left(x_{i}\right), \\ 1 & \text { if } x \in A_{\varepsilon}:=B_{\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{i}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Now, let us define

$$
P_{n}:=\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) .
$$

We claim that $P_{n} \geq 0$. Indeed, this is a consequence of the well-known fact: there exists $C(s)>0$ such that

$$
\left.\langle | x\right|^{s-2} x-|y|^{s-2} y, x-y \left\lvert\, \geq C(s)\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(|x|+|y|)^{2-s}} & \text { if } 1 \leq s<2,  \tag{14}\\
|x-y|^{s} & \text { if } s \geq 2
\end{array} \quad \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right.\right.
$$

Fix $\rho, \varepsilon>0$ with $0<\varepsilon<\rho<\varepsilon_{0}$. Then

$$
\int_{A_{\rho}} P_{n} d x \leq \int_{A_{\rho}} P_{n} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} P_{n} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A_{\rho}} P_{n} d x \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \Psi_{\varepsilon}-\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u \\
& -|\nabla u|^{p-2} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u \nabla u_{n}+|\nabla u|^{p} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} \Psi_{\varepsilon}-\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u \\
& -|\nabla u|^{q-2} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u \nabla u_{n}+|\nabla u|^{q} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n} \Psi_{\varepsilon}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u_{n} d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u_{n} d x \\
& -I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u \Psi_{\varepsilon}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda g u_{n_{+}}^{r} u \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n_{+}}^{p^{\star}-1} u \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q-2} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda g u_{n_{+}}^{r+1} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n_{+}}^{p^{\star}} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda g u_{n_{+}}^{r} u \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n_{+}}^{p^{\star}-1} u \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since both $\left\{u \Psi_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n} \Psi_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ are bounded in $\mathbb{W}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u \Psi_{\varepsilon}, I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Claim 3 in [5] and by the same argument replacing $p$ by $q$, we have

$$
\lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u_{n} d x=\lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u_{n} d x=o(\varepsilon)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u d x=\lim _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon} u d x=o(\varepsilon) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functional

$$
f(v):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla v d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla v d x
$$

is bounded in $\mathbb{W}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{n} d x\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \Psi_{\varepsilon} \nabla u d x . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n+}^{p^{\star}-1} \rightharpoonup u_{+}^{p^{\star}-1} & \text { in } L^{\frac{p^{\star}}{p^{\star}-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\ u_{n+}^{r} \rightharpoonup u_{+}^{r} & \text { in } L^{p^{\star}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\ u_{n+}^{r+1} \rightharpoonup u_{+}^{r+1} & \text { in } L^{p^{\star}+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Since $u \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{n+}^{r+1} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{+}^{r+1} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x,  \tag{18}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{n+}^{r} u \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{+}^{r+1} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n+}^{p^{\star}-1} u \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{+}^{p^{\star}} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n+}^{p^{\star}} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{+}^{p^{\star}} \Psi_{\varepsilon} d x . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then conclude from (15)-(21) that

$$
\lim _{n} \int_{A_{\rho}} P_{n} d x=0
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}= & \left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) \\
& +\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and that (14) yields that each term above is nonnegative. Therefore,

$$
\lim _{n} \int_{A_{\rho}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) d x=0 .
$$

Lemma 5 with $a(x, \xi)=|\xi|^{p-2} \xi$ then implies that $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^{p}\left(A_{\rho}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \text { a.e. in } A_{\rho} .
$$

Since $\rho<\varepsilon_{0}$ we have, in fact, that

$$
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

At last, in the case where $s=0$, that is, $v_{i}=0$ for all $i$, we just take $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(x):=\Phi(\varepsilon x)$ and $A_{\rho}:=B_{\frac{1}{2 \rho}}$ and repeat the arguments above.

From now on we denote, for each $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{c}_{\lambda}:=\inf _{u \in \mathbb{W} \backslash 0\}\}} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}(t u) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 9 There exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that

$$
0<\tilde{c}_{\lambda}<\frac{S^{\frac{N}{p}}}{N} \quad \text { for all } \lambda>\lambda^{*} .
$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 6 that $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \eta>0$ whenever $\|u\|=\rho$. Of course, this fact implies that $\widetilde{c}_{\lambda} \geq \eta>0$. (We remark that $\eta$ might depend on $\lambda$, but it is always positive.)
We recall that $\Omega_{g}$ denotes the open set where $g$ is positive. Let $u_{0} \in \mathbb{W} \backslash\{0\}$ with support in $\Omega_{g}$ such that $u_{0} \geq 0$ and $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{p^{\star}}=1$. Since

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right)=\frac{t^{p}}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\frac{t^{q}}{q}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}-\frac{t^{r+1} \lambda}{r+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{0}^{r+1} d x-\frac{t^{p^{\star}}}{p^{\star}}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

we can see that $I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and that $I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0^{+}$as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$. These facts imply that there exists $t_{\lambda}>0$ such that

$$
\max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\lambda} u_{0}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{d}{d t}\left[I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right)\right]_{t=t_{\lambda}} \\
& =t_{\lambda}^{p-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+t_{\lambda}^{q-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}-\lambda t_{\lambda}^{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{0_{+}}^{r+1} d x-t_{\lambda}^{p^{\star}-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{0_{+}}^{r+1} d x=\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}}{t_{\lambda}^{1+r-p}}+\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}}{t_{\lambda}^{1+r-q}}-t_{\lambda}^{p^{\star}-1-r} \quad \text { for all } \lambda>0, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left-hand side term is positive, since the support of $u_{0+}$ is contained in $\Omega_{g}$. We can see from (23) that $t_{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Since $I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\lambda} u_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0^{+}$as $t_{\lambda} \rightarrow 0^{+}$, there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\lambda} u_{0}\right)<\frac{S^{\frac{N}{p}}}{N} \quad \text { for all } \lambda>\lambda^{*} .
$$

Since $\widetilde{c}_{\lambda} \leq \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right)$, we conclude that

$$
\widetilde{c}_{\lambda}<\frac{S^{\frac{N}{p}}}{N} \quad \text { for all } \lambda>\lambda^{*}
$$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 It follows from Lemmas 6 and 2 that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{W}$ such that

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $c_{\lambda}$ is the minimax level of the mountain pass theorem associated with $I_{\lambda}$.
Arguing as in Lemma 2.2 of [18], one can check that

$$
c_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in \mathbb{W} \backslash\{0\}} \max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda}(t u):=\widetilde{c}_{\lambda} .
$$

By Lemma 9 there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $0<c_{\lambda}<\frac{S^{\frac{N}{P}}}{N}$ for all $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$. Moreover, according to Lemmas 7 and 8 , there exists a nonnegative function $u \in \mathbb{W}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightharpoonup u & \text { in } \mathbb{W}, \\ u_{n_{+}} \rightarrow u & \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{i}}(x) \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}(x) & \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .\end{cases}
$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{cases}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{i}} \rightharpoonup|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}(x) & \text { in } L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \\ \left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{i}} \rightharpoonup|\nabla u|^{q-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}(x) & \text { in } L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n_{+}^{\star}-1}^{p^{\star}} \rightharpoonup u_{+}^{p^{\star}-1} & \text { in } L^{\frac{p^{\star}}{p^{\star}-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \\ u_{n_{+}}^{r} \rightharpoonup u_{+}^{r} & \text { in } L^{\frac{p^{\star}}{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Now, let $\phi \in \mathbb{W}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \phi d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \phi d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n_{+}}^{p^{\star}-1} \phi d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{+}^{p^{\star}-1} \phi d x \text { and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{n_{+}}^{r} \phi d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g u_{+}^{r} \phi d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u) \phi=0$, and we conclude that $u$ is a solution of $(1)$.
We know that $u \geq 0$. It remains to verify that $u \neq 0$. Let

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x=: a \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} d x=: b \geq 0
$$

and suppose that $u \equiv 0$.
Since $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we also have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{q}}^{q}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda g u_{n_{+}}^{r+1} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n_{+}}^{p^{\star}} d x+o(1) .
$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda g u_{n_{+}}^{r+1} d x \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{p}=a+o(1), \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}_{p}}^{q}=b+o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|u_{n_{+}}\right\|_{p^{\star}}^{p^{\star}}=a+b+o(1) .
$$

By taking into account that $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda}$, we have

$$
\frac{a}{p}+\frac{b}{q}-\frac{a+b}{p^{\star}}=c_{\lambda}>0 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda}=\frac{a}{N}+b\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p^{\star}}\right) \geq \frac{a}{N}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda} N \geq a . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the equality in (24) shows that $a+b \neq 0$. By (7) and making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(a+b)^{\frac{p}{p^{\star}}} \leq a \text {. } \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $a>0$. Thus

$$
S a^{\frac{p}{p^{\star}}} \leq S(a+b)^{\frac{p}{p^{\star}}} \leq a,
$$

that is,

$$
a \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}} .
$$

Then by (25) we have

$$
c_{\lambda} N \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}},
$$

which is a contradiction, because $c_{\lambda}<\frac{S^{\frac{N}{p}}}{N}$.

Remark 10 By Theorems 1 and 2 of [2] it is easy to see that any solution of (1) is locally $C^{1, \alpha}$ if $g \in L^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $1<q<p<r+1<p^{\star}$.
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