Antibacterial activity of vegetal extracts against serovars of Salmonella

Atividade antibacteriana de extratos vegetais sobre sorovares de Salmonella

Daiane Voss-Rech^{I,II*} Cátia Silene Klein^I Vânia Helena Techio^{III} Gerson Neudi Scheuermann^I Gilberto Rech^{II} Laurimar Fiorentin^{I,IV}

ABSTRACT

In vitro antibacterial activity of 21 hydroethanolic vegetal extracts was assessed against 20 serovars of Salmonella. Regarding the tested extracts, 85.7% of them presented antibacterial activity. The six active extracts which showed activity on the largest number of serovars and the extract of **Eucalyptus** sp. were submitted to the determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC). Of these, six extracts showed bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity with MIC and MBC for **Punica granatum** (pomegranate) from 20 and 60mg mL⁻¹, for Eugenia jambolana (rose apple) from 40 and 240mg mL⁻¹, Eugenia uniflora (surinam cherry) from 80 and 240mg mL⁻¹, Caryophyllus aromaticus (clove) from 10 and 60mg mL⁻¹, **Psidium araca** from 30 and 320mg mL⁻¹ and **Eucalyptus** sp. from 40 and 160mg mL⁻¹. Achyrocline satureioides (macela) presented only bacteriostatic potential and MIC from 160mg mL⁻¹. Caryophyllus aromaticus, Eucalyptus sp., and Psidium araca presented the best results for bactericidal activity, inhibiting, respectively, 84.2%, 42.1%, and 17.6% of Salmonella's serovars. The activity of each extract varied for different serovars: S. London presented resistance to the six extracts in MBC, while S. Pullorum was the most susceptible serovar

Key words: antibacterial activity, vegetal extracts, Salmonella.

RESUMO

A atividade antibacteriana de 21 extratos hidroetanólicos vegetais foi avaliada in vitro frente a 20 sorovares de **Salmonella**. Dos extratos testados, 85,7% apresentaram atividade antibacteriana. Os seis extratos que evidenciaram atividade sobre o maior número de sorovares e

Eucalyptus sp. foram submetidos à determinação da Concentração Inibitória Mínima (CIM) e Concentração Bactericida Mínima (CBM). Destes, seis extratos apresentaram atividade bacteriostática e bactericida com MIC para Punica granatum (romã) a partir de 20 e 60mg mL⁻¹, Eugenia jambolana (jambolão) de 40 e 240mg mL⁻¹, Eugenia uniflora (pitanga) de 80 e 240mg mL⁻¹, Caryophyllus aromaticus (cravo) de 10 e 60mg mL⁻¹, Psidium araca (araçá) 30 e 320mg mL^{-1} e Eucalvotus sp. (eucalipto) de 40 e 160mg mL^{-1} . Achyrocline satureioides (macela) apresentou apenas atividade bacteriostática e MIC a partir de 160mg mL⁻¹. Caryophyllus aromaticus, Eucalyptus sp. e Psidium araca apresentaram os melhores resultados para a atividade bactericida, inativando, respectivamente, 84,21%, 42,1% e 17,64% dos sorovares de Salmonella. A atividade de cada extrato variou para diferentes sorovares. Nenhum dos seis extratos avaliados evidenciou atividade bactericida frente a S. London, enquanto S. Pullorum foi o sorovar mais sensível.

Palavras-chave: atividade antibacteriana, extratos vegetais, Salmonella.

INTRODUCTION

The last century was marked by efforts to search for compounds with therapeutic properties, giving the scientific community numerous substances that showed antimicrobial activity (EMEA 1999). The specific and rapid action of such antibiotics has promoted considerable progress, since they surpassed previously known drugs. However, the majority of

¹Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Embrapa) Suínos e Aves, Br 153, Km 110, Vila Tamanduá, 89700-000, Concórdia, SC, Brasil. E-mail: daiane@cnpsa.embrapa.br. *Autor para correspondência.

^{II}Universidade do Contestado (UnC), Concórdia, SC, Brasil.

^{III}Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal de Lavras (UFLA), Lavras, MG, Brasil.

^{IV}In memorian.

antibiotics act as bacteria-selective agents, which increases bacterial resistance through genetic mutation.

Currently, there is concern about bacterial resistance to antibiotics. This concern is based on the gradual reduction of the number of efficient antibiotics, and on the toxic effects of the antibiotic's residues in animal products (CHAGAS, 2004; TRABULSI & ALTHERTHUM, 2005). In this context, there has been increased interest in studies searching for vegetal compounds that present antibacterial activity.

Among the numerous pathogenic bacteria with an antimicrobial resistant profile, *Salmonella* are important agents that cause foodborne diseases worldwide. Currently, there are 2,501 known serovars of *Salmonella*, some more restricted and adapted to a unique host, and others capable of infecting several species (FRANCO et al., 2005; TRABULSI & ALTHERTHUM, 2005).

The large numbers of serovars, the adaptation to several hosts, and the ability to acquire and transmit alleles of resistance to antimicrobials are some of the factors that contribute to the pathogenicity of *Salmonella* (TRABULSI & ALTHERTHUM, 2005). This picture requires control of the use of antimicrobials and research into new antimicrobials, with focus on human health and to reduce losses in animal production. Some studies (SOUZA et al., 2000;

AVANCINIet al., 2000; CIRAJ et al., 2001; LOGUERCIO et al., 2005) have demonstrated the potential use of plant extracts with bactericidal or bacteriostatic for *Salmonella*. In the search for alternatives to conventional antibacterials, new plant species should be tested in order to identify which are more efficient as antimicrobial agents. The use of vegetal extracts represents a possibility which seems to be economically viable and ecologically safe for *Salmonella* control. The objective of this study was to evaluate in vitro the antimicrobial activity of some vegetal extracts against *Salmonella* serovars.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material sampling and preparation of vegetal extracts

The vegetal species used (Table 1) were collected in Concórdia – Santa Catarina State, Brazil, except *Caryophyllus aromaticus*, which was acquired in a commercial establishment. Sampling procedure was performed in the morning between 7 and 8h, during March and May of 2007. All the sampled plants were located away from any chemical contaminants. Voucher specimens were prepared and kept in the Herbarium of the Universidade do Contestado-UnC, Concórdia-Santa Catarina. The identification of the plants was carried out from the analysis of morphological

Table 1 - Frequency of Salmonella serovars inhibited by plants extracts.

Vegetal species	*		Used portion	on Inhibited serovars (%) 100	
Punica granatum L.			Fruit		
Eugenia jambolana Lam.	Rose apple	Myrtaceae	Leaf	90	
Eugenia uniflora L.	Surinam cherry	Myrtaceae	Leaf	90	
Caryophyllus aromaticus L.	Clove	Myrtaceae	Package content	75	
Psidium araca Raddi	Araçá	Myrtaceae	Leaf	75	
Achyrocline satureioides (Lam.)	Macela	Asteraceae	Flowered aerial portion	70	
Rosmarinus officinalis L.	Rosemary	Lamiaceae	Leaf	62.5	
Cynara scolymus L.	Artichoke	Asteraceae	Leaf	55	
Salvia officinalis L.	Common sage	Lamiacae	Leaf	45	
Laurus nobilis L.	Sweet bay	Lauraceae	Leaf	44.4	
Bidens pilosa L.	Hairy beggarticks	Asteraceae	Flowered aerial portion	42.1	
Baccharis trimera (Less.) DC	Carqueja	Asteraceae	Aerial portion	30	
Plectranthus barbatus Andrews	Forskohlii	Asteraceae	Leaf	15.8	
Sonchus oleraceus L.	Annual sowthistle	Lamiacae	Flowered aerial portion	10	
Mikania glomerata Spreng.	Guaco	Asteraceae	Leaf	10	
Taraxacum officinale F.H. Wigg.	Common dandelion	Asteraceae	Flowered aerial portion	5	
Emilia sonchifolia (L.) DC	Cupid's shaving-brush	Asteraceae	Flowered aerial portion	5	
Plantago australis Lam.	Mexican plantain	Plantaginaceae	Leaf	5	
Maytenus ilicifolia (Schrad.) Planch.	Holy-thorn	Celastraceae	Leaf	0	
Aloe arborescens Mill.	Candelabra aloe	Liliaceae	Leaf	0	
Malva sylvestris L.	Common mallow	Malvaceae	Leaf	0	

characters and identification keys (BREMER et al., 2000; LORENZI & MATOS, 2002; THE ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENY GROUP, 2003; SOUZA& LORENZI, 2005).

Sampled fresh vegetal portions were cleaned with a distilled-water wet paper towel and submitted to mechanical grinding. In order to obtain hydroethanolic extracts, a one-week extraction was performed using 1g of plant per 4mL of ethanol (80%). The solution was submitted to centrifugation (5 minutes at 540g), in order to remove suspended particles, then further incubated at 50°C for the evaporation of ethanol. The residual material was re-suspended in ethanol (80%) in a way that each mL contained the extract equivalent of 5g of the plant. This concentrate was centrifuged at 13000g and kept under refrigeration (VIEIRA et al., 2005; COELHO et al., 2003).

In vitro antibacterial activity evaluation.

Twenty serovars of *Salmonella* from the Animal Health Laboratory of Embrapa Swine & Poultry in Concórdia, Santa Catarina State, Brazil were used (Table 2). The selecting tests for the extracts were performed by well diffusion method on plates (GROOVE & RANDALL, 1995). Aliquots of 0.1mL containing approximately 106 colony-forming units (CFU) mL⁻¹ were spread with sterile swabs on the surface of plates with 10cm diameter containing nutrient agar. On each plate, six wells of 6mm diameter were prepared. Each well received 40µL of extract, diluted in a 1:5 ratio in 80% ethanol. This amount of extract corresponds to the extract obtained from 40mg of plant in its natural form. All tests were performed in duplicate. Nalidixic acid and 80% ethanol were used for positive and negative controls, respectively. The plates were kept in the refrigerator for one hour for diffusion of the extracts, and incubated for 18 to 24h at 37°C. Antibacterial activity was evaluated through reading of the growth inhibition zone.

The six extracts that showed activity in at least 70% of the serovars in the well diffusion method were submitted to the dilution technique for determining quantitatively the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). Based on the results obtained in this study with the Myrtaceae family, the extract of *Eucalyptus sp.* was also included in the determination of MIC.

Table 2 - Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of vegetal extracts against serovars of *Salmonella*

	Species								
Salmonella Serovars	Achyrocline satureioides	Caryophyllus aromaticus	<i>Eucaliptus</i> sp.	Eugenia jambolana	Eugenia uniflora	Psidium araca	Punica granatum		
	MIC/MBC (mg mL ⁻¹)								
S. Agona	240/>LD	40/160	120/>LD	160/>LD	240/>LD	80/NP	40/>LD		
S. Anatum	>LD/>LD	40/120	80/320	160/>LD	160/>LD	80/>LD	80/>LD		
S. Cerro	>LD/>LD	40/120	80/320	80/>LD	240/>LD	80/>LD	80/>LD		
S. Choleraesuis	NP/>LD	30/120	80/>LD	120/>LD	160/>LD	30/>LD	NP/>LD		
S. Cubana	160/>LD	20/60	60/>LD	80/>LD	120/>LD	40/>LD	20/160		
S. Derby	320/>LD	60/80	60/320	160/>LD	80/>LD	80/320	80/>LD		
S. Enteritidis	240/>LD	40/>LD	80/>LD	120/>LD	160/>LD	80/320	80/>LD		
S. Give	320/>LD	30/60	40/160	40/>LD	120/>LD	60/>LD	80/>LD		
S. Heidelberg	240/>LD	30/40-60	160/>LD	80/>LD	120/>LD	60/>LD	80/>LD		
S. Infantis	>LD/>LD	40/40-60	120/>LD	160/>LD	240/>LD	80/NP	240/>LD		
S. London	320/>LD	40/>LD	120/>LD	160/>LD	>LD/>LD	80/>LD	120/>LD		
S. Manhattan	>LD/>LD	40/60	60/160	160/>LD	160/>LD	80/320	80/>LD		
S. Meleagridis	320/>LD	30/>LD	80/320	160/>LD	240/>LD	80/>LD	60/>LD		
S. Montevideo	>LD/>LD	40/60	80/>LD	160/>LD	320/>LD	80/>LD	120/>LD		
S. Newport	320/>LD	40/320	40/>LD	160/>LD	160/>LD	40/>LD	80/>LD		
S. Oranienburg	>LD/>LD	60/120	160>LD	160/>LD	160/>LD	160/>LD	80/>LD		
S. Panama	160/>LD	30/120	60/320	160/>LD	160/>LD	80/>LD	40/>LD		
S. Pullorum	320/>LD	10/80	40/320	40/240	120/240	80/>LD	40/60		
S. Typhimurium	>LD/>LD	60/80	160/>LD	320/>LD	>LD/>LD	80/>LD	320/>LD		

LD: Limit of detection (320mg mL⁻¹); NP: Not performed.

Determination of the Minimum Inhibitory

Concentration (MIC) and the Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

The tests were based on the technique of broth microdilution (ELOFF, 1998). Bacterial inoculation were standardized on 0.5 MacFarland's scale tube and diluted to 1:100. Aliquots (10mL) of the dilution were distributed in 96 wells microtiter plates containing 100mL of nutrient broth, obtaining approximately 10⁵CFU mL⁻¹, with posterior addition of the extracts. The extracts were diluted in concentrations between 10 and 320mg mL⁻¹. The plates were incubated at 37°C for a period of 18 to 24h. In order to evaluate the antibacterial activity, each well received 20µL of 0.5% triphenyl tetrazolium chloride, with reading being performed after one hour. MIC was identified visually and considered as the lowest concentration of the extract capable of inhibiting bacterial growth (SARTORATTO et al., 2004).

Determination of MBC was performed by inoculating 25μ L of each dilution, with no apparent growth in MIC, in Brilliant Green Agar, with incubation (37°C) for a period of 18 to 24h. The presence of colonies was considered a evidence of bacteriostatic action, while the absence of colonies indicated bactericidal activity. MBC was considered on the plate which presented no bacterial growth (BARBOSA & TORRES, 1998). All tests were performed in duplicates.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In vitro antibacterial activity evaluation


In the tested concentration, 85.7% of the extracts presented inhibitory activity against some


serovar of *Salmonella* (Table 1). All serovars were susceptible to a minimum of five (25%) and maximum of 11 extracts tested (55%) (Figure 1). The six extracts that presented action against most of the serovars were the following ones: *Punica granatum* (Lythraceae), *Achyrocline satureioides* (Compositae), *Eugenia jambolana*, *Eugenia uniflora*, *Caryophyllus aromaticus* and *Psidium araca* (Myrtaceae) (Table 1). The extracts of Myrtaceae are among the five most active ones. The species of Myrtaceae and Lythraceae, belonging to the order Myrtales (BREMER et al., 2000; THE ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENY GROUP, 2003) are genetically closely related, which could justify the similar behavior concerning inhibitory capacity.

Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

The seven extracts submitted to MIC and MBC presented bacteriostatic activity and six of them showed bactericidal activity. Due to technical problems some extracts were not tested (NT) against all serovars, as shown in table 2. The extract of *Caryophyllus aromaticus* presented higher antimicrobial activity for MIC and MBC (Table 2, Figure 2). NASCIMENTO et al. (2000) also confirmed the inhibitory activity of this species against 64.2% of the evaluated bacteria, including *S. Choleraesuis*. Phytochemical composition performed by the authors detected the presence of eugenol, tannins and flavonoids, and tests with eugenol showed an inhibitory effect against *S. Choleraesuis*.

The antibacterial activity of *Punica* granatum was significant considering the bacteriostatic effect against all serovars (Table 2, Figure 2). Similar results





with this species were obtained by MICHELIN et al. (2005) against several organisms. The antimicrobial potential of *Punica granatum* has been verified against several bacteria species (HOLETZ et al., 2002; MACHADO et al., 2002; MICHELIN et al., 2005; NASCIMENTO et al., 2000; VORAVUTHIKUNCHAI et al., 2005), however, no data have shown such activity against *Salmonella*. MACHADO et al. (2002) attributed the antimicrobial activity to the presence of punicalagin.

The extract of *Eucalyptus sp.* presented inhibition against 100% of the serovars tested, showing bactericidal effect on 42.1% of them. FRANCO et al. (2005) reported antimicrobial activity of *Eucalyptus cinerea* against five distinct bacteria and attributed such activity to the presence of 1-8 cineol or eucalyptol in its essential oil. *Psidium araca* presented bacteriostatic activity against all serovars and bactericidal activity to *S. Derby*, *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Manhatan*. No similar report has been found, indicating the need for more studies with this extract.

Eugenia jambolana demonstrated bacteriostatic activity against all serovars and bactericidal activity only against S. Pullorum. MICHELIN et al. (2005) described similar values for MIC, although against other bacterial species. LOGUERCIO et al. (2005) verified antimicrobial activity of *E. jambolana* against 17 bacterial isolates, including S. Typhi. NASCIMENTO et al. (2000) confirmed the inhibitory activity against 57% of 14 microorganisms tested, but not against S. Choleraesuis. Such variation in the activity of the plant extracts might be related to factors such as age, physiological state, part of the plant used, and season, which affects both the concentration and the metabolite groups present in the extracts (POSER & MENTZ, 2004; RAVEN et al., 2007).

The extract of *Eugenia uniflora* was bacteriostatic against 89.47% of the serovars (Table 2). Substances such as flavonoids, sesquiterpenes, tannins, antocianic pigments, and saponins were identified in its composition (LORENZI & MATOS, 2002). Tannins and saponins presented antimicrobial properties (LOGUERCIO et al., 2005; RAVEN et al., 2007; SANTOS & MELLO, 2003; VORAVUTHIKUNCHAI, 2005). *Achyrocline satureioides* presents bacteriostatic activity against 61.1% of the serovars (Table 2). POLYDORO et al. (2004) assessed the inflorescences of this species and confirmed that the hydroethanolic extracts presented high levels of flavonoids, whose effect, however, only retarded the bacterial multiplication.

The efficacy of each extract varied for different serovars. While *S. London* presented resistance to all extracts in MBC, *S. Pullorum* was the most susceptible serovar (Table 2). This serovar is present only in avian species, while the others are adapted to several different hosts. Different susceptibility of the serovars is probably related to defense mechanisms, since it is well known that bacteria can develop protection mechanisms such as changes in the permeability and structure of the cell wall, production of inhibitory enzymes, and alteration of the molecules attacked by the antibacterial (TRABULSI & ALTHERTHUM, 2005).

Some results obtained in the present tests to determine MIC and diffusion in agar doesn't have relation among each other does not present relationships with one another. Regarding the first, the best results were obtained with the extract of *P. granatum*, which inhibited all serovars. However, this result was not associated with the lowest MIC, which was obtained from the extract of *C. aromaticus*. Similarly, some extracts, which were inactive in the agar diffusion test, presented activity in broth microdilution technique. This result is justified by the physicalchemical properties of the components of each extract, which could influence the diffusion of its components in the culture medium. Thus, in the case of plant extracts, the soleus diffusion in agar for assessing antimicrobial activity is not recommended. On the contrary, it can be used as orientation for selecting species with antimicrobial properties to determine the MIC and MBC, or even, to assess the results obtained in this study with the same sample.

CONCLUSION

The results obtained indicated that the vegetal extracts tested present potential antimicrobial activity with efficient properties in the inhibition of *Salmonella*, especially those from the Myrtaceae family. Such properties may be the object of further and specific studies for the identification and isolation of the active compounds or the assessment of their usefulness as therapeutic agents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors want to thank for Fundo de Apoio a Pesquisa – FAP da Universidade do Contestado – UnC, Concórdia, SC, for granting a scholarship to the first author. Laurimar Fiorentin (*in memorian*).

REFERENCES

AVANCINI, C.A.M. et al. Atividade bacteriostática e bactericida do decocto de *Baccharis trimera* (Less.), Compositae, carqueja, como desinfetante ou anti-séptico. Arq Bras Méd Vet Zootec, v.52, n.3, p.230-234, 2000. Available from: http:// www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-09352000000300011&lng=en&nrm=iso. Accessed: Mar. 27, 2006. doi: 10.1590/S0102-0935200000300011.

BARBOSA, H.R.; TORRES, B.B. Microbiologia básica. São Paulo: Atheneu, 1998. 196p.

BREMER, K. et al. Introduction to phylogeny and systematic of flowering plants. 6.ed. Uppsala: Uppsala University, 2000. 110p.

CHAGAS, A.C.S. Controle de parasitas utilizando extratos vegetais. **Rev Bras Parasitol Vet,** v.13, supl., p.156-160, 2004.

CIRAJ, A.M. et al. Antibacterial activity of black tea (*Camelia sinensis*) extract against *Salmonella* serotypes causing enteric fever. **Indian J Med Sci**, v.55, n.7, p.376-381, 2001. Available from: http://www.indianjmedsci.org/text.asp?2001/55/7/376/12062>. Accessed: May 27, 2006.

COELHO, A.M.S.P. et al. Atividade antimicrobiana de *Bixa* orellana L. (Urucum). Rev Lecta, v.21, n.1, p.47-54, 2003.

Available from: <http://www.saofrancisco.edu.br/edusf/ publicacoes/RevistaLecta/Volume_02/uploadAddress/lecta-7%5B6229%5D.pdf>. Accessed: Jun. 07, 2006.

ELOFF, J.N.P. A sensitive and quick microplate method to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration of plant extracts for bacteria. **Planta Med**, v.64, n.8, p.711-713, 1998.

EUROPEAN AGENCY FOR THE EVALUATION OF MEDICINAL PRODUCTS - EMEA. Antibiotic resistance in the European Union associated with therapeutic use of veterinary medicines. London UK, 1999. 79p. (Report and Qualitative Risky assessment by the Committee for Veterinary Medicinal Products – CVMP-342/99).

FRANCO, J. et al. Composição química e atividade antimicrobiana *in vitro* do óleo essencial de *Eucalyptus cinerea* F. Mull. Ex Benth., Myrtaceae, extraído em diferentes intervalos de tempo. **Rev Bras Farmacogn**, v.15, n.3, p.191-194, 2005. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-695X2005000300004&lng=en&nrm=iso>. Accessed: Aug. 16, 2007. doi: 10.1590/S0102-695X2005000300004.

GROOVE, D.C.; RANDALL, W.A. Assay methods of antibiotics: a laboratory manual-antibiotics monographs,2. USA: Medical Encyclopedia 1995. 80p.

HOLETZ, F.B. et al. Screening of some plants used in the Brazilian folk medicine for the treatment of infectious diseases. **Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz**, v.97, n.7, p.1027-1031, 2002. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0074-02762002000700017&lng=en&nrm=iso. Accessed: Aug. 16, 2007. doi: 10.1590/S0074-02762002000700017.

LOGUERCIO, A.P. et al. Atividade antibacteriana de extrato hidro-alcoólico de folhas de jambolão (*Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skells). Ciência Rural, v.35, n.2, p.371-376, 2005. Available from: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/cr/v35n2/a19v35n2.pdf>. Accessed: Mar. 27, 2007.

LORENZI, H.; MATOS, F.J.A. Plantas medicinais no Brasil: nativas e exóticas. Nova Odessa, SP: Instituto Plantarum, 2002. 512p.

MACHADO, T.B. et al. Antimicrobial ellagitannin of *Punica granatum* fruits. J Braz Chem Soc, v.13, n.5, p.606-610, 2002. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0103-50532002000500010&lng=en&nrm=iso. Accessed: Aug. 16, 2010. doi: 10.1590/S0103-50532002000500010.

MICHELIN, D.C. et al. Avaliação da atividade antimicrobiana de extratos vegetais. **Rev Bras Farmacog**, v.15, n.4, p.316-320, 2005. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/rbfar/v15n4/a09v15n4.pdf>. Accessed: Mar. 23, 2007.

NASCIMENTO, G.G.F. et al. Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibiotic resistant bacteria. **Braz J Microbiol**, v.31, n.4, p.247.256, 2000. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/bjm/v31n4/a03v31n4.pdf). Accessed: Set. 26, 2006.

POLYDORO, M. et al. Antioxidant, pro-oxidant and cytotoxic effects of *Achyrocline satureioides* extracts. Life Sci, v.23, n.74, p.2815-2826, 2004. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0024320504001225. Accessed: Set. 20, 2006.

POSER, G.L.V.; MENTZ, L.A. Diversidade biológica e sistemas de classificação. In: SIMÕES, C.M.O. et al. (Orgs). **Farmacognosia: da planta ao medicamento.** 5.ed. Porto Alegre/Florianópolis: UFRGS/UFSC, 2004. p.75-89.

RAVEN, P.H. et al. **Biologia vegetal**. 7.ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan, 2007. 728p.

SANTOS, S.C.; MELLO, J.C.P. Taninos. In: SIMÕES, C.M.O. et al. (Orgs). Farmacognosia: da planta ao medicamento. 5ed. Porto Alegre/Florianópolis: UFRGS/UFSC, 2003. p.615-683.

SARTORATTO, A. et al. Composition and antimicrobial activity of essential oils from aromatic plants used in Brazil. **Braz J Microbiol**, v.35, n.4. p.275-280, 2004. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/bjm/v35n4/v35n4a01.pdf. Accessed: Jul. 01, 2007.

SOUZA, C.A.S. et al. Atividade antimicrobiana de *Tagetes minuta* L. Compositae (chinchilho) frente a bactérias Grampositivas e Gram-negativas. **Braz J Vet Res Anim Sci**, v.37, n.6, p.429-433 2000. Available from: $<htp://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1413-9596200000600001&lng=en&nrm=iso>. Accessed: Aug. 16, 2007. doi: 10.1590/S1413-9596200000600001.$

SOUZA, V.C.; LORENZI, H. **Botânica sistemática: guia** ilustrado para identificação das famílias de Angiospermas da flora brasileira, baseado em APGII. Nova Odessa: Instituto Plantarum. 2005. 640p.

THE ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENY GROUP. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. **Bot J Linnean Soc**, v.141, p.399-436, 2003.

TRABULSI, L.R.; ALTHERTHUM, F. Microbiologia. 4.ed. São Paulo:Atheneu, 2005. 718p.

VIEIRA, O.M.C. et al. Atividade antimicrobiana de *Struthanthus vulgaris* (erva-de-passarinho) **Rev Bras Farmacog**, v.15, p.149-154, 2005. Available from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0102-695X2005000200014&lng=en&nrm=iso. Accessed: Aug. 16, 2007. doi: 10.1590/S0102-695X2005000200014.

VORAVUTHIKUNCHAI, S.P. et al. Inhibitory effects of active compounds from *Punica granatum* pericarp on verocytotoxin production by enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157: H7. J Health Sci, v.51, n.5, p.590-596, 2005. Available from: http://jhs.pharm.or.jp/51(5)/51_590.pdf>. Accessed: Set. 23, 2006.