

Disclaimer: This is a machine generated PDF of selected content from our databases. This functionality is provided solely for your convenience and is in no way intended to replace original scanned PDF. Neither Cengage Learning nor its licensors make any representations or warranties with respect to the machine generated PDF. The PDF is automatically generated "AS IS" and "AS AVAILABLE" and are not retained in our systems. CENGAGE LEARNING AND ITS LICENSORS SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES FOR AVAILABILITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Your use of the machine generated PDF is subject to all use restrictions contained in The Cengage Learning Subscription and License Agreement and/or the Gale Academic OneFile Terms and Conditions and by using the machine generated PDF functionality you agree to forgo any and all claims against Cengage Learning or its licensors for your use of the machine generated PDF functionality and any output derived therefrom.

First-tier detection of intragenomic 16S rRNA gene variation in culturable endophytic bacteria from cacao seeds.

Authors: Cleiziane Bispo da Silva, Hellen Ribeiro Martins dos Santos, Phellippe Arthur Santos Marbach, Jorge Teodoro de Souza, Valter Cruz-Magalhães and Ronaldo Costa Argã'lo-Filho

Date: Nov. 20, 2019

From: PeerJ(Vol. 7)

Publisher: PeerJ. Ltd.

Document Type: Article

Length: 8,826 words

Lexile Measure: 1490L

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7452>

Full Text:

Author(s): Cleiziane Bispo da Silva (1), Hellen Ribeiro Martins dos Santos (1), Phellippe Arthur Santos Marbach (2), Jorge Teodoro de Souza (3), Valter Cruz-Magalhães (1,3), Ronaldo Costa Argã'lo-Filho (1), Leandro Lopes Loguercio (1)

Introduction

Correct taxonomic identification and proper estimates of bacterial diversity are very important issues, due to wide environmental distribution, ecological functions, pathogenic potential and biotechnological applications of this domain. For more than a century, the identification/classification of bacteria has been done exclusively through culture-dependent methods, based on a series of morphological, physiological and biochemical tests after growth in appropriate media (Janda & Abbott, 2002). With the advances in molecular genetics, a criterion of DNA-DNA hybridization (DDH) showing a reassociation of 70% or more was established to define genospecies (Stackebrandt & Goebel, 1994). In the genomic era, various concepts and approaches have been debated as biologically meaningful systems for bacterial species definition (Konstantinidis, Ramette & Tiedje, 2006).

Sequencing of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene in prokaryotes has been widely used to determine taxonomic and phylogenetic relationships (Clarridge, 2004; Armougom & Raoult, 2009; Manaka, Tokue & Murakami, 2017). Bacterial strains with [greater than or equal to]70% DNA-DNA reassociation usually have >97% identity in their 16S rRNA gene sequence; on the other hand, less than 70% DNA-DNA hybridization, even with almost identical 16S rDNAs, may indicate different species (Janda & Abbott, 2007). This is especially relevant when ecological niches are included in the comparative analyses (Gevers et al., 2005; Konstantinidis, Ramette & Tiedje, 2006). Multi-locus sequencing analysis (MLSA) is also used for prokaryotic species definition, counting on a set of specific genes with sufficient evolutionary signals to allow fine discrimination between genetically close strains (Gevers et al., 2005). Polyphasic approaches (Vandamme et al., 1996) including all these methods have been regarded as the most suitable manner for taxonomic characterization of bacteria (Das et al., 2014; Sarethy, Pan & Danquah, 2014).

An often overlooked aspect to consider in the analyses of newly isolated strains from environmental samples (including endophytes) is how they are preliminarily assigned to a taxon (Woo et al., 2011). Studies have shown that horizontal gene transfer and recombination within the 16S rDNA do occur in bacteria (Schouls, Schot & Jacobs, 2003; Zhaxybayeva et al., 2006; Kitahara & Miyazaki, 2013; Tian et al., 2015). Experimental transfer of complete rRNA operons within and between species have resulted in viable organisms with heterologous 16S rRNA genes from two other species (Asai et al., 1999). Since some strains can carry up to 15 copies of the 16S rRNA gene (Klappenbach et al., 2001; Lpez-Lpez et al., 2007; Engene & Gerwick, 2011; Sun et al., 2013), transfer and recombination of segments can lead to a mosaic-like structure, i.e., different sequences of the 16S rDNA within the same cell (Eardly, Wang & Van Berkum, 1996; Schouls, Schot & Jacobs, 2003; Tian et al., 2015). The presence of multiple 16S rDNA copies and possible intragenomic heterogeneity can be, therefore, a limiting factor for both correct identification and counting of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in biodiversity studies of both culturable and unculturable bacteria (Coenye & Vandamme, 2003; Pei et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015). Although culturable microbes represent only 0.1-5% of the estimated total microbial diversity of any given environment (Bull, 2004), the levels of 16S rDNA intragenomic variation in a culturable population may provide a glance of what can occur in the whole community. Knowing such variation is useful in studies on microbial ecology and diversity, to prevent overestimation of this latter parameter (Sun et al., 2013) and to provide correction factors to compensate it.

Due to an intimate interaction with the plants, endophytic microbial symbionts can play important roles in host adaptation and evolution (e.g., Bulgarelli et al., 2013; Turner, James & Poole, 2013; Agler et al., 2016), performing relevant biological functions, such as increased photosynthetic efficiency, growth promotion, tolerance to abiotic and resistance to biotic stresses, including antagonism

towards phytopathogens (Barrow et al., 2008; Hanada et al., 2009; Andreote, Gumiere & Durrer, 2014; Hardoim et al., 2015; Berg et al., 2017). Endophytes also represent a potential source of bioactive compounds with a variety of applications in agriculture and industry (Schulz et al., 2002; Schulz & Boyle, 2005; Leite et al., 2013; Gouda et al., 2016). The great genetic, molecular and biochemical diversification in microorganisms is the basis of these processes, with their greatest biodiversity being long acknowledged in tropical latitudes (Strobel & Daisy, 2003; Arnold & Lutzoni, 2007; Duarte et al., 2013). The cacao tree is an interesting experimental model for these regions, due to a globally recognized importance for its economic, social, and environmental characteristics (Donald, 2004; Schroth et al., 2011; Beg et al., 2017; Wickramasuriya & Dunwell, 2018). Moreover, exploratory studies on tropical cacao communities of endophytic fungi and bacteria in different plant tissues have been conducted, with emphasis on improvement of the plant productivity based on biological control and growth promotion (Rubini et al., 2005; Crozier et al., 2006; Mejía et al., 2008; Hanada et al., 2009; Hanada et al., 2010; Melnick et al., 2011; Leite et al., 2013; Tchinda et al., 2016).

Mixed electropherograms obtained from Sanger sequencing can be an interesting first-tier approach to assess polymicrobial samples composition or intragenomic heterogeneity of rRNA genes/operons. Previous studies have demonstrated the feasibility of employing direct sequencing of PCR-amplified 16S rDNA stretches to detect the presence of multiple microbes (Kommedal, Karlsen & Sævi, 2008; Hartmeier & Justesen, 2010) or intragenomic rDNA variability in clinical samples of culturable isolates (Chen et al., 2015) by visually inspecting the corresponding mixed chromatograms. In the present work, a similar approach of Sanger-type chromatogram-based assessment, but complemented with frequent-cutter (*A*/I) restriction analyses was applied to study intragenomic variability in 16S rDNA in a set of culturable endophytic bacteria from cacao seeds. The results confirmed detection of intragenomic variation in individual isolates, suggesting this feature as being present in natural/environmental populations at high frequencies.

Materials & Methods

Bacterial strains collection

The 65 endophytic bacterial isolates used in this work belonged to the Laboratory of Agroindustry Applied Microbiology of the State University of Santa Cruz (LABMA/UESC, Ilheus-BA, Brazil), and were previously obtained from pulp adhered to seeds from cacao pods (Da Silva, 2013). The isolates were purified by the single-colony streak-plate method in Nutrient Agar and Tryptone Soy Agar, and maintained at 30°C in the dark. This single-colony streaking and culturing procedure was repeated at least three times to assure that only homogeneous/pure colonies were obtained. The total DNA from the isolates was extracted by the Doyle & Doyle (1987) method.

Amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA genes from culturable isolates

Amplification of the V5-V9 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene from the bacteria was performed by PCR with the primer-pair 799F (5'-AACMGATTAGATACCKG-3') and U1492R (5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') (Chelius & Triplett, 2001). Each 25- μ L (final volume) of polymerase chain reaction contained 8 ng of extracted DNA template, 2.5 μ L of 10x *Taq* buffer, 1.25 μ L of 50 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 μ L of 2 mM dNTP, 0.2 μ L of Platinum[®] *Taq* DNA polymerase (5 U μ L⁻¹) (Invitrogen[™]), 15 pmoles of 799F, 7.5 pmoles of U1492R, and 0.25 μ L BSA at 0.1%. The reaction was performed under the following conditions: 3 min at 96°C, followed by 30 cycles of 20 s at 94°C, 40 s at 58°C and 40 s at 72°C, with a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. Aliquots of 5 μ L of each reaction were analyzed on 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis in TBE buffer.

The amplified fragments were purified from agarose gels using *PureLink[®] Quick Gel Extraction Kit* (Invitrogen[™]), following manufacturer's recommendations. The purified DNA from gel were quantified by the *NanoDrop ND-1000* spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific[™]) prior to sequencing. The gel-purified amplicons were sequenced through the ABI-PRISM[®] 3100 Genetic Analyzer System, equipped with 50-cm capillaries and POP6 polymer. For each sequencing reaction, 3 μ L of the BigDye[™] Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing RR-100 reagent was used, with DNA template at ~50 ng, and 2.5 pmoles of the 799F primer, in a final volume of 10 μ L. The sequencing reactions were done in GeneAmp[®] PCR System 9700 thermocycler under the following conditions: 3 min at 96°C, followed by 25 cycles of 10 s at 96°C, 5 s at 55°C and 4 min at 60°C. The reaction products were precipitated with 4x their volume with 75% isopropanol for 30 min, centrifuged at 13 krpm for 15 min; the pellet was washed with 60% ethanol and dried to completion. Subsequently, the pellets were diluted in 10 μ L of Hi-Di formamide, denatured at 95°C for 5 min and cooled on ice also for 5 min, prior to being electro-injected in the automatic sequencer. The sequencing data were collected by the Data Collection v 1.0.1 program with the following parameters: Dye Set 'Z', Mobility File 'DT3100POP6 {BDv3} v1.mob', Run Module '1StdSeq50_POP6_50cm_cfv_100', and analysis Module '1'BC 3100SR_Seq_FASTA.saz'.

Electropherograms and restriction analyses of the 16S rRNA gene sequences

A visual inspection of the resulting electropherograms was carried out, including analysis of peaks definition and intensity, the presence of overlapping peaks and extension of the overlapping stretches. For a preliminary identification of isolates, the final base-called, processed sequences (through the ABI Sequencing Analysis application) obtained from the 16S rRNA genes of the endophytic bacterial isolates were submitted to the GenBank by the BlastN software (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/>). Based on the list of genera/species obtained from the BlastN, a further search was done for those with complete genomes deposited in the database. For quality and safety of information from the BlastN search, only those sequences obtained from clean, single-peaks chromatograms of the bacterial endophytic isolates from cacao (see above) were aligned with the complete genome sequences of the corresponding species (see Results). A supplementary multi-fasta text file containing these sequences is provided, with the isolates ordered according to levels of identity retrieved from BlastN (Table 1). In addition, all the corresponding electropherograms, labelled with the corresponding isolate identification, were provided as a compressed file (*.zip) as Supplementary Information.

Table 1: Approximate identification of culturable endophytic bacterial isolates from cacao, based on direct amplicon sequencing of the 16S rDNA V5-V9 hypervariable region. [see PDF for image]

The same PCR products subjected to sequencing were also digested with *AluI* (AG/CT) restriction enzyme (Uniscience[®] do Brasil) in reactions composed of 0.8 [micro]L of 10x enzyme buffer, 0.25 [micro]L of the *AluI* enzyme (10 U [micro]L⁻¹), 2 [micro]L of the PCR reaction, brought up to final volume of 8 [micro]L with ultra-pure water. The *AluI*-digestion reactions were incubated in a water bath at 37°C for 50 min, following the enzyme manufacturer's recommendations. This 4-bp frequent cutter was chosen because it yielded sufficiently discriminatory restriction profiles after electrophoresis for the amplified V5-V9 16S rRNA gene regions from the bacterial isolates (Dos Santos, 2017).

For the separation of the *AluI* digestion products, a previously defined procedure with a high-resolution ability (Dos Santos, 2017) was used for the analysis. The *AluI* digestions were submitted to vertical electrophoresis in 5-11% polyacrylamide (w/v) gradient gel in 1x TAE buffer (20 mM Tris-acetate, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8) at 80 V for 16 h. The gels were stained for 30 min in the dark in a solution composed of 15 [micro]L of GelGreen[™] for each 50 mL of distilled water (3:10⁴ ratio). After the staining time, the gels were photodocumented in Blue LED Transilluminator (Nippon Genetics Europe). The gel images were analyzed for counting of the restriction fragments generated; this procedure allowed the unambiguous detection of individual fragments with a size-difference equal to, or greater than 5 bp. Additionally, *in silico* analyses of the endophytic bacterial sequences with clean, single-peaks chromatograms was performed to locate *AluI* cleavage sites and predict the number of fragments to be generated after the restriction digestions.

Each isolate's 16S rDNA was subjected at least twice to the whole procedure of PCR amplification, sequencing and enzyme digestion, thereby composing a minimum of two biological replicates per sequence obtained; the results were consistent among replicates.

Statistics

A non-parametric chi-square analysis was performed in a 2x2 contingency table, with correction of Yates, to test the null hypothesis of independence between the variation factors, i.e., "type of chromatogram" ('clean' and 'mixed') vs "number of *AluI* fragments" ('up to 5 bands' and '6 or more bands'). These two categories of *AluI*-generated fragments were set according to the average number of restriction sites per single 16S rDNA sequence. After assessing 143 16S rDNA sequences of endophytic bacteria from databases, we found that 82% of them have 2 to 4 *AluI* sites in the V5-V9 region, which generates 3 to 5 restriction fragments. Therefore, if the PCR amplicons are composed of more than a single type of template, then the number of *AluI*-bands generated after digestion have ~82% probability to be equal or higher than six. A very significant chi-square value ($P < 0.01$) indicates association between the type of chromatogram and the number of bands detected in the high-resolution polyacrylamide-gradient electrophoresis (Dos Santos, 2017), so that, clean (single-peaks) chromatograms tend to associate with [less than or equal to] 5 bands, whereas mixed chromatograms correlates well with [greater than or equal to] 6 restriction fragments (see Results).

Results

A total of 65 culturable endophytic isolates obtained from cacao pulp adhered to seeds (Da Silva, 2013) were considered in this study. Their DNA was individually extracted and subjected to PCR amplification with specific primers targeting the V5-V9 hypervariable region of the 16S rDNA, 799F and U1492R (Chelius & Triplett, 2001). Each isolate generated a single PCR amplicon (electrophoretic band) that were individually sequenced in a direct manner (Sanger method), after purification from the gel. Under these conditions, no apparent unspecific amplification was observed in the gel for all samples. The preliminary identification of isolates, patterns of chromatogram obtained, and levels of sequence identity revealed by the BlastN are summarized in Table 1. The electropherograms from the 16S rDNA sequences presented two major patterns (Fig.1): 15 isolates showed clear, single-peaks electropherograms of high quality sequences ('clean'), whereas 50 presented mixed electropherograms, with variable number and intensity of peaks underneath the main base-called sequences subjected to BlastN (Table1). When the *AluI* restriction analysis was performed on the same PCR-amplified and gel-purified amplicons from the endophytic isolates, all but one of the clean sequences (93.3%, Table 1) presented the expected electrophoretic pattern of fragments, according to the number of *AluI* sites identified *in silico* for those sequences (Fig. 1).

Figure 1: Illustration of electropherograms and restriction profiles resulting from sequencing and *AluI* digestion of PCR-amplified V5-V9 region of 16S rRNA genes from endophytic bacteria from *Theobroma cacao*. The procedure was applied to 65 culturable isolates from cacao seeds + pulp (see text), and the data correspond to six isolates (identified by the numbers on top) that are representative examples. The agarose-gel electrophoretic profiles for single-fragment PCR-amplifications with primers 799F/U1492R are shown on the top. 'Clean' electropherograms, characteristic of unique templates, are shown on the left side, whereas mixed ones, which can indicate multiple templates, appear on the right side. The images of the corresponding gel resulting from the *AluI* digestion of the same sequence appear next to each chromatogram. The percentage of identity with the best hit retrieved by the BlastN is indicated besides each gel. (The sequences produced from the 65 isolates are provided in the Supplementary Information). [see PDF for image]

In silico analysis for the *AluI* sites of the mixed electropherograms could not be done safely, due to the uncertainty of their base-called sequences (Table 1); hence, the number of restriction fragments generated was assessed by electrophoresis only. For these 50 mixed sequences, the majority of *AluI* restriction patterns (63.3%) showed [greater than or equal to]6 bands (Fig. 1). A chi-square analysis (2x2 contingency table) was performed to test the null hypothesis (H_0) of independence between the 'number of *AluI* fragments' ([less than or equal to]5 or [greater than or equal to]6 bands) and the 'type of sequence' (single or mixed). The results ($X^2 = 12.968$; $P = 0.0003$) allowed to reject H_0 , thereby indicating that the number of *AluI* restriction fragments was essentially dependent upon the type of chromatogram obtained. Interestingly, in certain cases of mixed sequences, possible *indels* in the 16S rRNA genes have apparently generated mixed templates detectable from specific points in the electropherogram (Fig.2) (Chen et al., 2015). From a visual inspection and alignment, *indels* could be identified between the main and the underneath sequences, although the nucleotide signals for the latter were of such a lower level that further fluorescence-background interference prevented their safe

detection. In the example shown (Fig.2), the main base-called sequence was 99% identical to a *Bacillus* strain, whereas the underneath sequence dropped the identity to 96% closest to a *Paenibacillus* strain. These results strongly indicated the presence of intracell heterogeneity for the 16S rDNA for that particular isolate. Obviously, the observation of clean or mixed chromatograms (which pointed to unique or multiple templates, respectively) did not allow any inference about the number of copies of the 16S rRNA gene present in the organism.

Figure 2: Example of a double-template mixed electropherogram for a 16S rRNA gene amplicon of an endophytic bacterial isolate from *Theobroma cacao*. The region displayed shows specific stretches where a clean pattern changes to a double-sequence electropherogram, indicated by horizontal arrows. The base-called sequence of the respective 16S rRNA gene are given on top of the electropherogram (A), and as the top sequence (capital letters) in the underneath alignment. Visual inspection of peak intensities allowed precise identification of the main and secondary sequences, which were manually aligned as indicated (B). For the stretches where only single peaks were observed, the sequences of the supposed two templates appeared to be the same and are shown as capital letters in a single line. For the aligned 'mixed' stretches 1 and 2, the top sequence is the main one and the borders of the overlapping stretches are indicated by dashed arrows and the base-pair number (position) of the main sequence; small-caps letters in the main (top) sequence were base-called ambiguities defined by visual inspection of the electropherogram. The secondary sequence was predominantly shown in small-caps, except for those bases with only a single peak (capital letters). The two sequences alignment was optimized manually, in which dashes were introduced in each sequence for the best possible adjustment. Dots and stars underneath the alignment correspond to matches and mismatches, respectively; question marks indicate points where the secondary-sequence signals were too low and it was not possible to discern them from background; bold 'g' letters and dashes suggest potential *indels* between the two sequences. The sequences definition and alignment could be undoubtedly done up to the 468 bp only. [see PDF for image]

To verify whether the strains retrieved by the BlastN search also show evidence of different 16S rRNA genes in fully sequenced genomes of the same species, an *in silico* analysis was performed with the 15 clean and the two mixed sequences showing 99% identity to database entries (see Table 1). These sequences were chosen due to their safer taxonomic identification, resulting from clearer and undoubtful base-called sequences that provided the highest cover and identity percentages when aligned with GenBank entries (Table1, Fig. 1). From those 17 safe sequences, we were able to identify 11 deposited strains with fully sequenced genomes from four bacterial species: *Bacillus thuringiensis*, *B. pumilus*, *Gluconobacter oxydans* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (Fig. 3). The results showed a range of one to seven aligned copies of 16S rRNA gene that were different from each other and from our isolates. Most of the variations were base substitutions, but deletions and duplications were also identified (Fig. 3). Interestingly, a copy of 16S rRNA gene within the genome of the *B. pumilus* strain CP009108.1 showed an insertion of 14 bp not shared by the other seven copies, nor by our isolate '23', which made it only 97% identical to them (Fig. 3B). It is also noteworthy that, for most of the clean-chromatogram's isolates subjected to BlastN, the corresponding list of hits depicted from 7 to 51 different species with nucleotide identities [greater than or equal to]98%, thus providing a series of distinct genera/spp as possible candidates for taxonomic definition of an isolate, based on this 16S rDNA region.

Figure 3: Intragenomic variation of the 16S rRNA gene from bacterial species with their complete genome deposited at GenBank, identified by the BlastN search with sequences from endophytic isolates from *Theobroma cacao*. Only the 15 undoubtful, clean sequences and the two mixed sequences with 99% identity (Table 1) were considered. The indicated genomes (species names in grey boxes) were found by BlastN with the isolates # 6 (A), 23 (B), 53 (C) and 63 (D), which were indicated in bold as the reference sequence used in each of the 11 alignments shown. The identification and access numbers of the corresponding strains with genomes fully sequenced is indicated on top of each alignment group. In each of these groups, the letters A, B, C etc on the left column correspond to the different types of 16S rRNA gene sequences found in the genome, with the number of copies of each type shown between parenthesis besides them. The numbers in the first line (out of scale) correspond to the base-pair positions of the cacao isolate sequence where differences were found among the sequence types. The asterisk (*) sign indicate bp matches in the whole stretches compared to the reference sequence (cacao isolate) on top of each alignment group. The percentage of sequences identity with respect to the cacao isolates is shown on the right side of each sequence. For the second alignment group of *Bacillus pumilus* (isolate 23), the stretch of 'zeros' on the reference sequence indicate a large gap in relation to the 'F' sequence (the gap is present in all sequence types, from 'A' to 'E'). [see PDF for image]

Discussion

Sequences of 16S rRNA gene have long been used for identification of prokaryotic organisms (Srinivasan et al., 2015), at least in a preliminary manner. However, the intragenomic variation naturally present for this gene, both quantitatively (number of copies) and qualitatively (different DNA sequences), has been adding complexity to this matter (Coenye & Vandamme, 2003; Sun et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015; Valdivia-Anistro et al., 2016). Due to the extensive use of 16S rRNA gene sequences for taxonomy, phylogenetics and metagenomics, for both culture-dependent and -independent methods, the assessment of the extent of these heterogeneities in natural populations appears as an important issue (Pei et al., 2010; Michon et al., 2010). Knowing the levels of intragenomic variation (i) in individual bacterial strains, (ii) in a genus or species, or (iii) in more diverse ecosystems (e.g., water, soils, plants, animals and humans' microbiomes) would help researchers to adjust biodiversity estimates of populations/communities based on 16S rDNA sequences, as well as to choose which rDNA region is more appropriate to use for taxonomic identification purposes (Sun et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015). In the present study, previously isolated endophytic bacteria from cacao seeds (Da Silva, 2013; Dos Santos, 2017) were used as a model system to address levels of intragenomic variation of this proxy gene in a natural population from a tropical environment. The assessment of an electropherogram profile from direct Sanger sequencing of rDNA-amplified fragment from a single culturable bacterial isolate, coupled with a frequent-cutter (*AluI*) restriction analysis of the amplicon, could serve properly as a first-tier indication of intragenomic heterogeneity in the 16S rRNA gene. In this case, the frequent-cutter restriction analysis was able to properly replace the need of cloning and re-sequencing the PCR amplicons (e.g., Chen et al., 2015) to confirm the multiple template condition.

The results obtained from the combined analyses of electropherograms and *AluI* restriction patterns (Fig. 1, Table 1) showed that

clean chromatograms tend to be indicative of a unique template (with the number of restriction fragments in electrophoresis agreeing with the number of *AluI* sites seen *in silico*), whereas the mixed ones indicate more than a single template (frequently with [greater than or equal to] 6 *AluI* bands) present in the sequenced amplicon. These results, which were fully supported by the chi-square analysis, is in close agreement with previous work with polymicrobial (Kommedal, Karlsen & Siba, 2008) and with clinical strictly anaerobical samples (Chen et al., 2015). The number of underneath peaks varied among mixed sequences, which may correspond to the number of templates available (only one underneath peak suggests two distinct templates; two underneath peaks, three templates, and so on; Kommedal, Karlsen & Siba, 2008).

The generally much lower identities ([less than or equal to]96%) obtained from 47 mixed sequences (Table 1) were likely due to the overlapping electropherograms that generated a lot of confounding signals for base calling, and so, likely formed "chimeric sequences" (in addition to those potentially formed as a PCR-sequencing artifacts; (Haas et al., 2011) that far departed from any previously described organism. Contrariwise, the [greater than or equal to]98% identity with database entries found for three mixed sequences (Table 1) can be explained by a lower-intensity signal of the secondary (underneath) peaks, which did not significantly disturb the base calling process. Under these circumstances, such mixed electropherograms in several microbial studies (that have likely not been reported) may have been mistakenly overlooked or discarded as either poor sequencing or isolate contamination (Chen et al., 2015), when, in fact, they might have been indicative of intragenomic heterogeneity in 16S rDNAs.

A relevant result from our sampling of culturable endophytes from cacao was the high percentage of intragenomic variation for the 16S rRNA gene in this type of environmental community of culturable bacteria (Table 1). Out of the 65 isolates under study, at least 32 (49.2%) showed a mixed chromatogram coupled with an *AluI* restriction pattern of [greater than or equal to] 6 fragments, which safely detected more than a single template in the corresponding 799F/U1492R PCR amplicon. Considering the tropical origin of these isolates, this result suggests that part of the high levels of microbial diversity in these regions on Earth (Arnold et al., 2002; Strobel & Daisy, 2003; Duarte et al., 2013) is likely due to higher levels of genetic variability and gene transfer among bacteria (Schouls, Schot & Jacobs, 2003; Zhaxybayeva et al., 2006; Jensen, Frost & Torsvik, 2009; Kitahara & Miyazaki, 2013). An alternative possibility, though, that the culture medium used for isolation might have favored those bacteria with intrinsically higher rates of mutation/gene transfer cannot be ruled out. A common technical, though simplistic view for mixed chromatograms/sequences is that they result from contamination, due to certain associations of bacteria that are more difficult to separate by standard microbiological techniques, i.e., the case of syntrophs (Caldwell, 1995; Sanders, 2012). Nevertheless, such possibility is not expected to account for so many cases, mainly when very careful procedures for single-colonies isolation were employed throughout (see methods), and considering that endophytes do not tend to form syntrophic associations, due to the mostly aerobic growth conditions *in planta* (Morris et al., 2013). Therefore, it is fair to assume that contamination/syntrophy are likely not contributing to the results in a decisive manner (Chen et al., 2015). In addition, completely sequenced genomes in databases show that intragenomic 16S rDNA heterogeneity is not rare in *Bacteria*, with different levels and types of variation being taxon-dependent (Sun et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015; Valdivia-Anistro et al., 2016); the same bacterial cell may have up to 15 copies of the 16S rRNA gene, and more than 2-3 types of sequences (Fig.3) (Klappenbach et al., 2001; Jensen, Frost & Torsvik, 2009; Liu et al., 2015). Hence, such a condition of intragenomic 16s rDNA variation ought to be more carefully addressed and considered, as it has direct consequences for species identification and analyses of richness, abundance and composition of bacterial communities in environmental samples (Coenye & Vandamme, 2003; Pei et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2015). Improved fitness to environmental stresses has been suggested as a driver for higher number and types of 16s rDNA in bacterial genomes (Lopez-Lopez et al., 2007; Jensen, Frost & Torsvik, 2009; Chen et al., 2015), although these relationships are the likely result of a complex multi-factorial interaction (Valdivia-Anistro et al., 2016).

The approach here presented did show some limitations in identifying the 16S rDNA intragenomic heterogeneity. The fact that 36% of the mixed-sequences isolates showed a number of restriction fragments compatible to clean ones (Table 1) suggests that other interfering factors leading to mixed-type chromatograms have also occurred. Several technical aspects that can add experimental variability to chromatograms/restriction analyses are, for instance, (i) quality of the gel-purified PCR amplicon sent to sequencing; (ii) potential presence of non-specific priming sites; (iii) quality/consistency of the sequencing procedure, including signal strength, base-calling sensitivity and PCR-derived chimeric sequence formation (Haas et al., 2011); (iv) possible mutation(s) during PCR amplification or bacterial culture (Martinez & Baquero, 2000); (v) occasional V5-V9 regions with a single or none *AluI* site that can lead to mixed chromatograms with [less than or equal to] 5 bands (Ashby et al., 2007); and (vi) occasional incomplete restriction digestion for certain sequences, as the conditions for full digestion (DNA and enzyme amounts, digestion time and temperature) vary among DNA structures/sequences and extracted biological samples. It is noteworthy that the efficiency/completeness of a type IIP enzyme digestion (such as *AluI*) depends on specific sequences around the restriction sites and/or proximity between sites in a single DNA molecule (Armstrong & Bauer, 1982; Alves et al., 1984; Pingoud & Jeltsch, 2001). The latter condition is a possible explanation for the pattern of [greater than or equal to] 6 bands obtained for one clean-electropherogram isolate (Table 1), since analysis of its V5-V9 sequence revealed two of the *AluI* sites located less than 17 bp apart. Alternatively, other restriction enzymes can be used in the attempt to solve issues of this nature (e.g., Stakenborg et al., 2005; Jensen, Frost & Torsvik, 2009; Dos Santos, 2017). Once the technical aspects are addressed properly, the approach here employed can provide useful insights concerning the presence of 16S rDNA variation in natural populations at a first-tier level.

In the scope of ecology, diversity and evolution studies, certain aspects concerning intragenomic variability of 16S rDNA are important to highlight. It has been indicated that <97% identity between two 16S rRNA gene sequences is an indicative of different bacterial species (Gevers et al., 2005; Janda & Abbott, 2007). Interestingly, from our results, at least two different 16S rRNA gene sequences present in a single genome can account for differences higher than 3% identity (Figs. 2 and 3). Indeed, other authors have reported variations as high as 9.7% among the copies of 16S rDNA (e.g., Pei et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2013). This situation of cells containing heterologous 16S rRNA genes (Asai et al., 1999) is not so unexpected, as it can occur by horizontal transfer of complete operons between bacteria of the same or different species through transformation, conjugation and transduction (Armougom & Raoult, 2009; Smillie et al., 2010; Arber, 2014); studies have shown that *Bacillus* is a genus specially rich in terms of strains/cells harboring different types and copy number of rRNA operons (Liu et al., 2015), with such a variability being likely relevant for its wide niche occupancy (Valdivia-Anistro et al., 2016) and references therein).

Therefore, analysis of unculturable populations from environmental samples through 16S rDNA sequencing may lead to a relevant overestimation of the community diversity and composition (Pei et al., 2010; Haas et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015), as different copies of this gene, although originating from the same cell, can match to different species in the database (Fig. 2). Our results suggest that assessments of microbial diversity from any environment, even if based upon high-throughput molecular techniques (e.g., Sinclair et al., 2015), may benefit from also obtaining culturable isolates to investigate the overall levels of their 16S rDNAs intragenomic heterogeneity. The concomitant use of a variety of different media (e.g., those focusing on culturing of specific bacterial subsets of interest; (López-López et al., 2007; Jensen, Frost & Torsvik, 2009; Michon et al., 2010; Tchinda et al., 2016) could help minimizing possible culturing biases of using a single-type medium, thereby providing more representative samplings. In this context, diversity overestimations of culture-independent methods can be somehow more precisely compensated. For instance, finding a given proportion of culturable isolates displaying such intragenomic variability (from a set of properly chosen media) could be applied to correct the OTUs diversity estimation found by a high-throughput molecular approach in the same sample. Depending on the previous knowledge existing in a study system, the information on OTUs overestimations provided by Sun et al. (2013) can be used with the overall approach presented here, which may further help in devising diversity-compensation strategies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the coupling of direct Sanger sequencing with restriction enzyme analysis on PCR-purified DNA from culturable isolates was capable to consistently reveal intragenomic 16S rDNA heterogeneity in endophytic culturable populations of bacteria. To our knowledge, this is a pioneer report assessing this issue in bacteria inhabiting cacao fruits/seeds, which can be viewed as a relevant representative of tropical environments for endophytes. Despite that full-genome sequencing is the most accurate way to verify such a intragenomic variability, this strategy depends on specific conditions of logistics or facilities for the laboratories worldwide. The approach presented here combined simple and classical procedures that can fast detect intragenomic variation in 16S rDNAs at a first-tier level in any bacterial population. This appears as an alternative not only for initial fingerprinting of isolates in culturable collections, but also to investigate microbial ecology and biodiversity in an array of environments.

Subjects: Biodiversity, Ecology, Genetics, Microbiology, Taxonomy

Keywords: 16S rDNA, Bacterial diversity, Chimerical sequences, PCR limitations, Genomic databases, Polymicrobial samples

Author Affiliation:

1 Dept. of Biological Sciences (DCB), State University of Santa Cruz (UESC), Ilhéus-BA, Brazil

2 Center for Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Sciences (CCAAB), Federal University of Recôncavo da Bahia (UFRB), Cruz das Almas-BA, Brazil

3 Dept. of Plant Pathology (DFP), Federal University of Lavras (UFLA), Lavras-MG, Brazil

Corresponding Author:

Ronaldo Costa Argêlo-Filho, rcargolofilho@uesc.br

Leandro Lopes Loguercio, leandro@uesc.br

Academic Editor:

Jana U'Ren

DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7452

Article history:

Received Date: 3/21/2019

Accepted Date: 7/10/2019

Published Date: 11/20/2019

Copyright: ©2019 da Silva et al.

License: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. For attribution, the original author(s), title, publication source (PeerJ) and either DOI or URL of the article must be cited.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to State University of Santa Cruz (UESC) for the infrastructure provided. The authors also wish to sincerely thank the anonymous reviewers who have contributed significantly to the improvement of the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

The research was funded by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq). Doctoral scholarship from the Bahia State Foundation for Research Support were granted to Cleiziane Bispo da Silva; two levels of scholarships from the Brazilian Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES, finance code 001) were granted to Hellen Ribeiro Martins dos Santos (doctoral), and to Ronaldo Costa ArgÃ´lo-Filho and Valter Cruz-MagalhÃ£es (post-doctoral). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq):

Bahia State Foundation for Research Support (FAPESB):

Brazilian Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES):

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

* Cleiziane Bispo da Silva, Hellen Ribeiro Martins dos Santos and Ronaldo Costa ArgÃ´lo-Filho conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

* Phellippe Arthur Santos Marbach and Jorge Teodoro de Souza conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

* Valter Cruz-MagalhÃ£es conceived and designed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

* Leandro Lopes Loguercio conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data is available as Supplemental Files.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7452/#supplemental-information>

References

- Agler MT, Ruhe J, Kroll S, Morhenn C, Kim S-T, Weigel D, Kemen EM. 2016.** Microbial hub taxa link host and abiotic factors to plant microbiome variation. *PLoS Biology*. 2016. **14**: e1002352 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002352.
- Alves J, Pingoud A, Haupt W, Langowski J, Peters F, Maass G, Wolff C. 1984.** The influence of sequences adjacent to the recognition site on the cleavage of oligodeoxynucleotides by the *EcoRI* endonuclease. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1984. **140**:83-92 DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1984.tb08069.x.
- Andreote FD, Gumiere T, Durrer A. 2014.** Exploring interactions of plant microbiomes. *Scientia Agricola*. 2014. **71**:528-539 DOI: 10.1590/0103-9016-2014-0195.
- Arber W. 2014.** Horizontal gene transfer among bacteria and its role in biological evolution. *Life*. 2014. **4**:217-224 DOI: 10.3390/life4020217.
- Armougom F, Raoult D. 2009.** Exploring microbial diversity using 16S rRNA high-throughput methods. *Journal of Computer Science & Systems Biology*. 2009. **2**:74-92.
- Armstrong K, Bauer WR. 1982.** Preferential site-dependent cleavage by restriction endonuclease *Pst*I. *Nucl Acid Res*. 1982. **10**:993-1007 DOI: 10.1093/nar/10.3.993.
- Arnold AE, Lutzoni F. 2007.** Diversity and host range of foliar fungal endophytes: are tropical leaves biodiversity hotspots?. *Ecology*. 2007. **88**:541-549 DOI: 10.1890/05-1459.
- Arnold AE, Maynard Z, Gilbert GS, Coley PD, Kursar TA. 2002.** Are tropical fungal endophytes hyperdiverse?. *Ecology Letters*.

Asai T, Zaporjets D, Squires C, Squires CL. 1999. An *Escherichia coli* strain with all chromosomal rRNA operons inactivated: complete exchange of rRNA genes between bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1999. **96**:1971-1976 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.96.5.1971.

Ashby MN, Rine J, Mongodin EF, Nelson KE, Dimster-Denk D. 2007. Serial analysis of rRNA genes and the unexpected dominance of rare members of microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2007. **73**:4532-4542 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.02956-06.

Barrow JR, Lucero ME, Reyes-Vera I, Havstad KM. 2008. Do symbiotic microbes have a role in plant evolution, performance and response to stress?. *Communicative & Integrative Biology*. 2008. **1**(1):69-73 DOI: 10.4161/cib.1.1.6238.

Beg MS, Ahmad S, Jan K, Bashir K. 2017. Status, supply chain and processing of cocoa-a review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*. 2017. **66**:108-116 DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.06.007.

Berg G, Kberl M, Rybakova D, Mller H, Grosch R, Smalla K. 2017. Plant microbial diversity is suggested as the key to future biocontrol and health trends. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 2017. **93**: Article fix050 DOI: 10.1093/femsec/fix050.

Bulgarelli D, Schlaeppi K, Spaepen S, Ver Lorenvan Themaat E, Schulze-Lefert P. 2013. Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*. 2013. **64**:807-838 DOI: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120106.

Bull AT, Bull AT. 2004. How to look, where to look. *Microbial diversity and bioprospecting*. Washinton, D.C.: ASM Press, 2004. 71-79.

Caldwell DE, Lappin-Scott HM, Costerton JE. 1995. Cultivation and study of biofilm communities. *Microbial biofilms*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995. 64-79.

Chelius MK, Triplett EW. 2001. The diversity of Archaea and Bacteria in association with the roots of *Zea mays* L. *Microbial Ecology*. 2001. **41**(3):252-263 DOI: 10.1007/s002480000087.

Chen J, Miao X, Xu M, He J, Xie Y, Wu X, Chen G, Yu L, Zhang W. 2015. Intra-genomic heterogeneity in 16S rRNA genes in strictly anaerobic clinical isolates from periodontal abscesses. *PLOS ONE*. 2015. **10**:1-19.

Clarridge JE. 2004. Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2004. **17**:840-862 DOI: 10.1128/CMR.17.4.840-862.2004.

Coenye T, Vandamme P. 2003. Intragenomic heterogeneity between multiple 16S ribosomal RNA operons in sequenced bacterial genomes. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2003. **228**:45-49 DOI: 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00717-1.

Crozier J, Thomas SE, Aime MC, Evans HC, Holmes KA. 2006. Molecular characterization of fungal endophytic morphospecies isolated from stems and pods of *Theobroma cacao*. *Plant Pathology*. 2006. **55**:783-791 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01446.x.

DaSilva CB. 2013. Cacao holobiont: genetic diversity of the microbial portion associated with fruits of different clones of *Theobroma cacao* L. *Master's Thesis*. 2013.

Das S, Dash HR, Mangwani N, Chakraborty J, Kumari S. 2014. Understanding molecular identification and polyphasic taxonomic approaches for genetic relatedness and phylogenetic relationships of microorganisms. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*. 2014. **103**:80-100 DOI: 10.1016/j.mimet.2014.05.013.

Donald PF. 2004. Biodiversity impacts of some agricultural commodity production systems. *Conservation Biology*. 2004. **18**:17-37 DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.01803.x.

DosSantos HMR. 2017. Artificially constituted bacterial communities: ARDRA as a case study on 16S rDNA PCR limitations for endophytic diversity analysis, and use of *Viola officinalis* as an experimental model. *Doctoral Dissertation*. 2017.

Doyle J, Doyle JL. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical bulletin*. 1987. **19**:11-15.

Duarte EA, Lacerda Jr GV, DeOliveira TA, Brendel M, Loguercio LL, Cascardo JC. 2013. Bioprospection of bacteria and yeasts from Atlantic Rainforest soil capable of growing in crude-glycerol residues. *Genetics and Molecular Research*. 2013. **12**:4422-4433 DOI: 10.4238/2013.October.10.8.

Eardly BD, Wang F-S, VanBerkum P. 1996. Corresponding 16S rRNA gene segments in Rhizobiaceae and *Aeromonas* yield discordant phylogenies. *Plant Soil*. 1996. **186**:69-74 DOI: 10.1007/BF00035057.

Engene N, Gerwick WH. 2011. Intra-genomic 16S rRNA gene heterogeneity in cyanobacterial genomes. *Fottea*. 2011. **1**:17-24.

Gevers D, Cohan FM, Lawrence JG, Spratt BG, Coenye T, Feil EJ, E Stackebrandt., Vande Peer Y, Vandamme P, Thompson FL, Swings J. 2005. Opinion: re-evaluating prokaryotic species. *Nature Reviews. Microbiology*. 2005. **3**:733-739 DOI:

- Gouda S, Das G, Sen SK, Shin HS, Patra JK. 2016.** Endophytes: a treasure house of bioactive compounds of medicinal importance. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016. **7**: Article 1538 DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01538.
- Haas BJ, Gevers D, Earl AM, Feldgarden M, Ward DV, Giannoukos G, Ciulla D, Tabbaa D, Highlander SK, Sodergren E, Methé B, DeSantis TZ, Human Microbiome Consortium, Petrosino JF, Knight R, Birren BW. 2011.** Chimeric 16S rRNA sequence formation and detection in Sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR amplicons. *Genome Research*. 2011. **21**:494-504 DOI: 10.1101/gr.112730.110.
- Hanada RE, Pomella AWV, Costa HS, Bezerra JL, Loguercio LL, Pereira JO. 2010.** Endophytic fungal diversity in *Theobroma cacao* (cacao) and *T. grandiflorum* (cupuaçu) trees and their potential for growth promotion and biocontrol of black-pod disease. *Fungal Biology*. 2010. **114(11-12)**:901-910 DOI: 10.1016/j.funbio.2010.08.006.
- Hanada RE, Pomella AWV, Soberanis W, Loguercio LL, Pereira JO. 2009.** Biocontrol potential of *Trichoderma martiale* against black-pod disease (*Phytophthora palmivora*) of cacao. *Biological Control*. 2009. **50**:143-149 DOI: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2009.04.005.
- Hardoim PR, VanOverbeek LS, Berg G, Pirttilä AM, Compant S, Campisano A, Döring M, Sessitsch A. 2015.** The hidden world within plants: ecological and evolutionary considerations for defining functioning of microbial endophytes. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 2015. **79**:293-320 DOI: 10.1128/MMBR.00050-14.
- Hartmeier GN, Justesen US. 2010.** Direct 16S rRNA gene sequencing of polymicrobial culture-negative samples with analysis of mixed chromatograms. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2010. **59**:486-488 DOI: 10.1099/jmm.0.017269-0.
- Janda JM, Abbott SL. 2002.** Bacterial identification for publication: when is enough enough?. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2002. **40**:1887-1891 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.40.6.1887-1891.2002.
- Janda JM, Abbott SL. 2007.** 16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial identification in the diagnostic laboratory: pluses, perils, and pitfalls. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2007. **45**:2761-2764 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.01228-07.
- Jensen S, Frost P, Torsvik VL. 2009.** The nonrandom microheterogeneity of 16S rRNA genes in *Vibrio splendidus* may reflect adaptation to versatile lifestyles. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2009. **294**:207-215 DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01567.x.
- Kitahara K, Miyazaki K. 2013.** Revisiting bacterial phylogeny: natural and experimental evidence for horizontal gene transfer of 16S rRNA. *Mobile Genetic Elements*. 2013. **3(1)**: e24210 DOI: 10.4161/mge.24210.
- Klappenbach JA, Saxman PR, Cole JR, Schmidt TM. 2001.** rrnDB: the ribosomal RNA operon copy number database. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2001. **29**:181-184 DOI: 10.1093/nar/29.1.181.
- Kommedal , Karlsen B, Sæib . 2008.** Analysis of mixed sequencing chromatograms and its application in direct 16S rRNA gene sequencing of polymicrobial samples. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2008. **46**:3766-3771 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00213-08.
- Konstantinidis KT, Ramette A, Tiedje JM. 2006.** The bacterial species definition in the genomic era. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2006. **361(1475)**:1929-1940 DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2006.1920.
- Leite HAC, Silva AB, Gomes FP, Gramacho KP, Faria JC, DeSouza JT, Loguercio LL. 2013.** *Bacillus subtilis* and *Enterobacter cloacae* endophytes from healthy *Theobroma cacao* L. trees can systemically colonize seedlings and promote growth. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2013. **97**:2639-2651 DOI: 10.1007/s00253-012-4574-2.
- Liu Y, Lai Q, Gähler M, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Wang M, Sun Y, Wang L, Shao Z. 2015.** Genomic insights into the taxonomic status of the *Bacillus cereus* group. *Scientific Reports*. 2015. **5**:14082 DOI: 10.1038/srep14082.
- López-López A, Benlloch S, Bonfá M, Rodríguez-Valera F, Mira A. 2007.** Intragenomic 16S rDNA divergence in *Haloarcula marismortui* is an adaptation to different temperatures. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*. 2007. **65**:687-696 DOI: 10.1007/s00239-007-9047-3.
- Manaka A, Tokue Y, Murakami M. 2017.** Comparison of 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequence analysis and conventional culture in the environmental survey of a hospital. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Health Care and Sciences*. 2017. **3**:1-6 DOI: 10.1186/s40780-016-0071-6.
- Martinez JL, Baquero F. 2000.** Mutation frequencies and antibiotic resistance. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 2000. **44**:1771-1777 DOI: 10.1128/AAC.44.7.1771-1777.2000.
- Mejía LC, Rojas EI, Maynard Z, VanBael S, Arnold AE, Hebbar P, Samuels GJ, Robbins N, Herre EA. 2008.** Endophytic fungi as biocontrol agents of *Theobroma cacao* pathogens. *Biological Control*. 2008. **46**:4-14 DOI: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.01.012.
- Melnick RL, Suárez C, Bailey BA, Backman PA. 2011.** Isolation of endophytic endospore-forming bacteria from *Theobroma cacao* as potential biological control agents of cacao diseases. *Biological Control*. 2011. **57**:236-245 DOI: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.03.005.
- Michon AL, Aujoulat F, Roudière L, Soulier O, Zorogniotti I, Jumas-Bilak E, Marchandin H. 2010.** Intragenomic and intraspecific

- heterogeneity in rrs may surpass interspecific variability in a natural population of *Veillonella*. *Microbiology*. 2010. **156**:2080-2091 DOI: 10.1099/mic.0.038224-0.
- Morris BEL, Henneberger R, Huber H, Moissi-Eichinger C. 2013.** Microbial syntrophy: interaction for the common good. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 2013. **37(3)**:384-406 DOI: 10.1111/1574-6976.12019.
- Pei AY, Oberdorf WE, Nossa CW, Agarwal A, Chokshi P, Gerz EA, Jin Z, Lee P, Yang L, Poles M, Brown SM, Sotero S, DeSantis T, Brodie E, Nelson K, Pei Z. 2010.** Diversity of 16S rRNA genes within individual prokaryotic genomes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2010. **76**:3886-3897 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.02953-09.
- Pingoud A, Jeltsch A. 2001.** Structure and function of type II restriction endonucleases. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2001. **29**:3705-3727 DOI: 10.1093/nar/29.18.3705.
- Rubini MR, Silva-Ribeiro RT, Pomella AWV, Maki CS, Arajo WL, Santos DR, Azevedo JL. 2005.** Diversity of endophytic fungal community of cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) and biological control of *Crinipellis pernicioso*, causal agent of witches' broom disease. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2005. **1**:24-33.
- Sanders ER. 2012.** Aseptic laboratory techniques: plating methods. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*. 2012. **63**: e3064 DOI: 10.3791/3064.
- Sarethy IP, Pan S, Danquah MK, Grillo O. 2014.** Modern taxonomy for microbial diversity. *Biodiversity-the dynamic balance of the planet*. London: In Tech Open, 2014. 51-68.
- Schouls LM, Schot CS, Jacobs JA. 2003.** Horizontal transfer of segments of the 16S rRNA genes between species of the *Streptococcus anginosus* group. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 2003. **185**:7241-7246 DOI: 10.1128/JB.185.24.7241-7246.2003.
- Schroth G, Faria D, Araujo M, Bede L, VanBael SA, Cassano CR, Oliveira LC, Delabie JHC. 2011.** Conservation in tropical landscape mosaics: the case of the cacao landscape of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 2011. **20(8)**:1635-1654 DOI: 10.1007/s10531-011-0052-x.
- Schulz B, Boyle C. 2005.** The endophytic continuum. *Mycological Research*. 2005. **109**:661-686 DOI: 10.1017/S095375620500273X.
- Schulz B, Boyle C, Draeger S, Rmmert AK. 2002.** Endophytic fungi: a source of novel biologically active secondary metabolites. *Mycological Research*. 2002. **106**:996-1004 DOI: 10.1017/S0953756202006342.
- Sinclair L, Osman OA, Bertilsson S, Eiler A. 2015.** Microbial community composition and diversity via 16s rRNA gene amplicons: evaluating the Illumina Platform. *PLOS ONE*. 2015. **10**: e0116955 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116955.
- Smillie C, Garcilln-Barcia MP, Francia MV, Rocha EPC, Dela Cruz F. 2010.** Mobility of plasmids. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 2010. **74**:434-452 DOI: 10.1128/MMBR.00020-10.
- Srinivasan R, Karaoz U, Volegova M, MacKichan J, Kato-Maeda M, Miller S, Nadarajan R, Brodie EL, Lynch SV. 2015.** Use of 16S rRNA gene for identification of a broad range of clinically relevant bacterial pathogens. *PLOS ONE*. 2015. **10**: e0117617 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117617.
- Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM. 1994.** Taxonomic note: a place for DNA-DNA reassociation and 16S rRNA sequence analysis in the present species definition in bacteriology. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*. 1994. **44**:846-849.
- Stakenborg T, Vicca J, Butaye P, Maes D, DeBaere T, Verhelst R, Peeters J, DeKruif A, Haesebrouck F, Vaneechoutte M. 2005.** Evaluation of amplified rDNA restriction analysis (ARDRA) for the identification of Mycoplasma species. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2005. **5**:46 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2334-5-46.
- Strobel G, Daisy B. 2003.** Bioprospecting for microbial endophytes and their natural products. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 2003. **67**:491-502 DOI: 10.1128/MMBR.67.4.491-502.2003.
- Sun D-L, Jiang X, Wu QL, Zhou N-Y. 2013.** Intragenomic heterogeneity of 16S rRNA genes causes overestimation of prokaryotic diversity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2013. **79**:5962-5969 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01282-13.
- Tchinda RAM, Boudjeko T, Simao-Beunoir A-M, Lerat S, Tsala , Monga E, Beaulieu C. 2016.** Morphological, physiological, and taxonomic characterization of actinobacterial isolates living as endophytes of cacao pods and cacao seeds. *Microbes and Environments*. 2016. **31**:56-62 DOI: 10.1264/jsme2.ME15146.
- Tian RM, Cai L, Zhang WP, Cao HL, Qian PY. 2015.** Rare events of intragenus and intraspecies horizontal transfer of the 16S rRNA gene. *Genome Biology and Evolution*. 2015. **7**:2310-2320 DOI: 10.1093/gbe/evv143.
- Turner TR, James EK, Poole PS. 2013.** The plant microbiome. *Genome Biology*. 2013. **14**:209 DOI: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-6-209.
- Valdivia-Anistro JA, Eguiarte-Frns LE, Delgado-Sapin G, Mrquez-Zacaras P, Gasca-Pineda J, Learned J, Elser JJ, Olmedo-Alvarez G, Souza V. 2016.** Variability of rRNA operon copy number and growth rate dynamics of *Bacillus* isolated from an

extremely oligotrophic aquatic ecosystem. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016. **6**:1-15.

Vandamme P, Pot B, Gillis M, DeVos P, Kersters K, Swings J. 1996. Polyphasic taxonomy, a consensus approach to bacterial systematics. *Microbiological Reviews*. 1996. **60**:407-438.

Wickramasuriya AM, Dunwell JM. 2018. Cacao biotechnology: current status and future prospects. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. 2018. **16**(1):4-17 DOI: 10.1111/pbi.12848.

Woo PCY, Teng JLL, Yeung JMY, Tse H, Lau SK, Yuen KY. 2011. Automated identification of medically important bacteria by 16S rRNA gene sequencing using a novel comprehensive database, 16SpathDB. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2011. **49**:1799-1809 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.02350-10.

Zhaxybayeva O, Gogarten JP, Charlebois RL, Doolittle WF, Papke RT. 2006. Phylogenetic analyses of cyanobacterial genomes: quantification of horizontal gene transfer events. *Genome Research*. 2006. **16**:1099-1108 DOI: 10.1101/gr.5322306.

Abstract:

Background

Intragenomic variability in 16S rDNA is a limiting factor for taxonomic and diversity characterization of Bacteria, and studies on its occurrence in natural/environmental populations are scarce. In this work, direct DNA amplicon sequencing coupled with frequent-cutter restriction analysis allowed detection of intragenomic 16S rDNA variation in culturable endophytic bacteria from cacao seeds in a fast and attractive manner.

Methods

Total genomic DNA from 65 bacterial strains was extracted and the 16S rDNA hyper variable V5-V9 regions were amplified for enzyme digestion and direct Sanger-type sequencing. The resulting electropherograms were visually inspected and compared to the corresponding AluI-restriction profiles, as well as to complete genome sequences in databases. Restriction analysis were employed to substitute the need of amplicon cloning and re-sequencing. A specifically improved polyacrylamide-gradient electrophoresis allowed to resolve 5-bp differences in restriction fragment sizes. Chi-square analysis on 2x2 contingency table tested for the independence between the 'number of AluI bands' and 'type of electropherogram'.

Results

Two types of electropherograms were obtained: unique template, with single peaks per base (clean chromatograms), and heterogeneous template, with various levels of multiple peaks per base (mixed chromatograms). Statistics revealed significant interaction between number of restriction fragments and type of electropherogram for the same amplicons: clean or mixed ones associated to [less than or equal to]5 or [greater than or equal to]6 bands, respectively. The mixed-template pattern combined with the AluI-restriction profiles indicated a high proportion of 49% of the culturable endophytes from a tropical environment showing evidence of intragenomic 16S rDNA heterogeneity.

Conclusion

The approach presented here was useful for a rapid, first-tier detection of intragenomic variation in culturable isolates, which can be applied in studies of other natural populations; a preliminary view of intragenomic heterogeneity levels can complement culture-dependent and -independent methods. Consequences of these findings in taxonomic and diversity studies in complex bacterial communities are discussed.

Cleiziane Bispo da Silva, Hellen Ribeiro Martins dos Santos, Phellippe Arthur Santos Marbach, Jorge Teodoro de Souza, Valter Cruz-Magalhães, Ronaldo Costa Argão-Filho, Leandro Lopes Loguercio

Copyright: COPYRIGHT 2019 PeerJ. Ltd.. COPYRIGHT 2012-2015 PeerJ, Inc | Public user content licensed CC-BY 4.0 unless otherwise specified. Content is available for free at <https://peerj.com/>

<https://peerj.com/about/publications/>

Source Citation (MLA 8th Edition)

da Silva, Cleiziane Bispo, et al. "First-tier detection of intragenomic 16S rRNA gene variation in culturable endophytic bacteria from cacao seeds." *PeerJ*, vol. 7, 2019, p. e7452. *Gale Academic OneFile*,

https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A606283722/AONE?u=ufla_br&sid=AONE&xid=4871da58. Accessed 30 Apr. 2020.

Gale Document Number: GALE|A606283722